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Topological control of cytokine receptor signaling induces differential effects in hematopoiesis
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INTRODUCTION: Receptor dimerization is a fundamental mechanism by which most cytokines and growth factors activate Type-I transmembrane receptors. Although previous studies have shown that ligand-induced topological changes in the extracellular domains (ECDs) of dimeric receptors can affect signaling output, the physiological relevance is not well understood. This is a difficult problem to study because an engineered ligand system does not exist that would enable a systematic exploration of the relationship between ligand-receptor dimer geometry and signaling. This question, as well as the capability of exploiting these structure-activity relationships for drug discovery, are important because most cytokines exert pleiotropic effects that limit their therapeutic utility. New approaches are needed to modulate cytokine signaling and identify clinically efficacious variants. By contrast, small-molecule ligands for G protein–coupled receptors have been successfully discovered through medicinal chemistry and used to induce conformational changes that lead to physiologically relevant biased signaling outputs. A comparable approach for dimeric receptors could open a path to new pharmacological parameters for cytokines and growth factors.

RATIONALE: In order to better understand how the extracellular structure of cytokine-receptor complexes affects downstream signaling events, we developed an engineered ligand system to precisely control the orientation and proximity of dimeric receptor complexes that would enable the measurement of structure-activity relationships between receptor dimer geometry, signaling, and function. We applied this approach to design geometrically controlled ligands to the erythropoietin receptor (EpoR) system, a well-characterized dimeric cytokine receptor system.

RESULTS: We used the DARPin (designed ankyrin repeat protein) scaffold because of its modular nature. We isolated a high-affinity DARPin to EpoR using yeast display and in vitro evolution and determined the crystal structure of the DARPin/EpoR complex. We then converted these monomeric DARPin binding modules into C2 symmetric homodimeric agonists by incorporating in silico designed dimerization interfaces. This rigidly connected dimeric DARPin scaffold then enabled us to design a series of extended ligands through sequential insertion of ankyrin repeat “spacers” to systematically control the relative orientation of the ECDs in the dimeric complex. The “angle” series varied the scissor angle between the two ECDs, whereas the “distance” series varied their relative proximity. The designed DARPin ligands were validated by means of x-ray crystallography for representative complexes. The systematic variation of angular and distance parameters generated a range of full, biased, and partial agonism of EpoR signaling in the human erythroid cell line UT7/Epo, as shown with flow-cytometry and immunoblotting for phosphorylated downstream effectors. In general, increasing the angle or distance between the receptor ECDs resulted in a progressive partial agonism, as measured with changes in maximum response achieved (Emax) and median effective concentration (EC50). Biased signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) activation was elicited by some of the surrogate DARPin ligands. We also evaluated the effects of these DARPin agonists on differentiation and proliferation of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) that were maturing into the erythroid lineage. The partial agonists displayed stage-selective effects on HSPCs, whereas the biased agonists more selectively promoted signaling at either the early or late stages of differentiation.

CONCLUSION: We have designed a series of surrogate ligands to systematically alter receptor dimer topology and modulate signaling outputs. An important feature of these ligands is that the dimerized modules are rigidly connected, thus allowing us to determine structures of the ligand-receptor complexes, or model them accurately, and correlate precise geometries with signaling output. Some variants induce stage-selective differential signaling in primary cells. This “topological tuning” may be attributed to altered intracellular orientations of Janus kinase 2 (JAK2) relative to its substrates or mechanical distortion that leads to changes in complex stability and receptor internalization. Although our experiments do not reveal a predictive framework to relate topology to signaling, this approach can be used to empirically identify specific dimer topologies that correlate with therapeutically desirable signaling outputs for any dimeric receptor system.  
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Topological control of cytokine receptor signaling induces differential effects in hematopoiesis

Kritika Mohan1, George Ueda2,4, Ah Ram Kim3,5,6,1, Kevin M. Jude5†, Jorge A. Fallas2,3,1, Yu Guo7, Maximilian Hafer9, Yi Miao4, Robert A. Saxton1,7, Jacob Piehera8,10, Vijay G. Sankaran4,5,6, David Baker2,3,11‡, K. Christopher Garcia1,7,12‡

Although tunable signaling by G protein-coupled receptors can be exploited through medicinal chemistry, a comparable pharmacological approach has been lacking for the modulation of signaling through dimeric receptors, such as those for cytokines. We present a strategy to modulate cytokine receptor signaling output by use of a series of designed C2-symmetric cytokine mimetics, based on the designed ankyrin repeat protein (DARPin) scaffold, that can systematically control erythropoietin receptor (EpoR) dimerization orientation and distance between monomers. We sampled a range of EpoR geometries by varying intermonomer angle and distance, corroborated by several ligand-EpoR complex crystal structures. Across the range, we observed full, partial, and biased agonism as well as stage-selective effects on hematopoiesis. This surrogate ligand strategy opens access to pharmacological modulation of therapeutically important cytokine and growth factor receptor systems.

Cytokines are secreted proteins that mediate a wide range of functions, principally in the immune system, but they also exert many other homeostatic functions that affect mammalian physiology (1–3). As one example, hematopoiesis, the process by which blood cells are formed, is influenced at both early and late stages by a milieu of different cytokines that engage and activate specific cell-surface receptors expressed on different lineages of cells (4). When cytokines bind to their receptors, receptor dimerization triggers transphosphorylation of Janus (JAK)/TYK kinases, and subsequent propagation of a phosphorylation cascade leading to signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) activation and induction of gene expression programs (3, 5). Structural and functional studies of cytokine-receptor complexes together with similar studies on growth factor dimeric receptor systems, including receptor tyrosine and serine-threonine kinases, show that a wide range of receptor dimer geometries are compatible with signaling (3, 5–9).

Given their powerful actions, many natural cytokines have been investigated as therapeutic agents. Whereas select cytokines are clinically safe and effective, such as erythropoietin (EPO) (10), most natural cytokines, especially immune cytokines, have pleiotropic effects on many different cells and stimulate multiple downstream signaling responses, resulting in toxicity or lack of efficacy (11, 12). Nevertheless, cytokines have potential as therapeutics if their actions can be harnessed, as exemplified by recent advances for cytokines such as interleukin-2 (IL-2) and IL-10 (13, 14). Recent studies, using natural and engineered ligands to both cytokine and receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) class receptors, show that signal strength can be modulated downstream of dimeric receptors (15–18). Furthermore, select natural and synthetic mutants of cytokines such as IL-2, human growth hormone (hGH), stem cell factor (SCF), and EPO that exhibit partial and biased signaling properties have been identified (17, 19, 20). In G protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs), biased signaling is physiologically relevant and generally depends on binding of small-molecule ligands, making this exploitable as a drug discovery strategy by using medicinal chemistry (21). Finding small-molecule drugs is not currently feasible for Type-I transmembrane receptors that bind to protein ligands, such as cytokines, through large extracellular domains (ECDs). Nevertheless, ligand engineering strategies that take advantage of the potential for biased signaling behavior by dimeric cytokine receptors could be used as probes to better understand cytokine receptor signaling biology but also may have therapeutic potential.

The overall geometry of the cytokine receptor dimer can influence the strength of the downstream signal (6, 22–24); however, approaches do not exist to precisely control the orientation and proximity of ligand-receptor complexes. The ability to topologically control receptor dimerization could open access to a new pharmacologic metric for assessing structure-activity relationships of cytokine and growth factor receptor signaling. Previous studies have shown that intact antibodies (25, 26) and diabodies can act as surrogate cytokine agonists capable of modulating signaling outputs through receptor dimerization (24, 27), but their segmental flexibility prevents control of the precise geometry or distance between monomers.

We integrated a previous protein-binding scaffold technology, termed DARPIN (designed ankyrin repeat protein) (28), with protein design and engineering approaches to create a self-assembling, rigidly connected dimeric scaffold that enables precise control of the relative orientations of the receptor ECDs in a dimeric complex (Fig. 1A). We focused on the erythropoietin receptor (EpoR), which plays a critical role in erythroid lineage commitment and differentiation to produce mature red blood cells (RBCs) (20). We found that systematic variation of angular and distance parameters of the DARPIn-based agonists leads to partial and biased agonism of the EpoR signal. Furthermore, this variation in geometry has allowed us to selectively activate EpoR signaling at specific stages of hematopoiesis. Collectively, these studies offer a general strategy for the regulation of cytokine receptor signaling through topological control of the receptor dimer that can be applied to other dimeric receptor systems.

Results
Selection of monomeric DARPins selective for EpoR

Our overall strategy was to engineer a protein module that binds with high affinity to a cytokine receptor ECD and subsequently design the module to form a range of dimeric geometries that would in turn constrain the dimerization geometry of the bound receptor (Fig. 1A). We focused on a well-characterized system, human EpoR, as our cytokine receptor target for topological tuning. We chose DARPinss as the binding scaffold because they are modular, which enables size variation (28), and have been used to design self-assembling oligomers (29). A DARPin molecule typically consists of “X” number of ankyrin repeats flanked by N- and C-terminal capping regions, resulting in “NXC” DARPins. Each ankyrin repeat is formed by 33 amino acids, and the modular aspect of these constructs allows for size variation. We engineer DARPin receptors in two ways: either by creating a divergent library (23) or by directly designing an optimal geometry (30). In this report, we present a novel approach that relies on the tunable properties of the scaffold to induce biased signaling.

We engineered DARPins to bind to human EpoR using the DARPin design algorithm (12). The initial design approach (12) is based on a few key elements: diverse sequence space, the ability to predict binding affinities, and a high signal-to-noise ratio. The first DARPins we designed were “hybrid” receptors, which contain the extracellular domain of the cytokine receptor of interest and a DARPIn-based scaffold. Our goal was to establish a proof of concept that this engineered receptor could selectively bind to the cytokine receptor of interest. We used the human erythropoietin receptor (EpoR) as our cytokine receptor target for topological control of the receptor dimer (Fig. 1A). We focused on the ECD of EpoR that plays an important role in erythroid lineage commitment and differentiation to produce mature red blood cells (20).

We found that systematic variation of angular and distance parameters of the DARPIn-based agonists leads to partial and biased agonism of the EpoR signal. Furthermore, this variation in geometry has allowed us to selectively activate EpoR signaling at specific stages of hematopoiesis. Collectively, these studies offer a general strategy for the regulation of cytokine receptor signaling through topological control of the receptor dimer that can be applied to other dimeric receptor systems.
acids and consists of a β turn followed by two antiparallel α helices. Twenty-seven of these positions are highly conserved in naturally occurring ankyrin repeats and crucial to maintaining the repeat protein framework (30, 31), whereas the remaining six positions can support randomization for library generation (Fig. 1B, blue residues). We developed two yeast-displayed DARPin libraries, N2C and N3C (Fig. 1B and fig. S1), which were screened against EpoR ECD, resulting in a set of low-affinity ligands with dissociation constants (Kd) in the micromolar range (Fig. 1C and fig. S2). A combination of random mutagenesis by means of error-prone polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and DNA-shuffling techniques resulted in a >104-fold increase in affinity of individual clones (Fig. 1, C and D). The highest-affinity clone, N3C-E2, was expressed in Escherichia coli, and its affinity was measured with surface plasmon resonance (SPR). E2 binds to EpoR ECD with a 1.89 nM Kd, providing a >1000-fold increase in binding affinity relative to 8A9 (Fig. 1D). We denote this DARPin as NEEEC, comprising three ankyrin repeats. The β turn loop regions of the ankyrin domains engage the top of the D1 domain, whereas the helical regions pack against the side of the D1 domain with high shape complementarity and extensive buried surface area.

**Generation of agonist DARPin dimers**

In order to endow the monomeric DARPin NEEEC with the ability to dimerize EpoR and act as an agonist, it was converted into two different homodimeric geometries by incorporating designed dimer interfaces at the nonbinding face of the DARPin (29). The interface mutations provide hydrophobic side chain interactions at nonsolvent accessible positions and salt bridge interactions at solvent-exposed positions, which results in the formation of noncovalent self-assembling homodimers, A_R3 and C_R3, where Rx indicates the number of ankyrin repeats (Fig. 2, A and B, and figs. S3 and S4). The A and C dimers differ by a shift in the relative orientation of the monomers across the C2 axis. Dimer C_R3 has an offset of one repeat at the dimerizing interface compared with dimer A_R3, providing a twist of 30° in the relative orientation of the two dimerized EpoR ECDs (Fig. 2B and fig. S5). The formation of the C_R3 DARPin dimer and a 2:2 C_R3/EpoR complex was confirmed with size exclusion chromatography, and crystal structures were determined to 1.2 and 3.2 Å resolution, respectively. We assessed the ability of the DARPin dimers to dimerize EpoR on the surface of live cells using single-molecule total internal fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy (Fig. 2C and fig. S6). For this purpose, EpoR fused to an N-terminal monomeric XFP (mXFP)-tag was expressed in HeLa cells at physiologically relevant densities (~0.5 to 1 copies/μm²; ~1000 to 2000 copies/cell) and labeled by means of specific nanobodies. EpoR dimerization was quantified through dual-color cotracking of individual receptor dimers (fig. S6 and movie S1). Like EPO, both ligands showed ligand-dependent dimerization of EpoR at the cell surface, although C_R3 was less efficient than A_R3 (Fig. 2C and fig. S6).
including mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), are also activated by EPO. We analyzed the phosphorylation levels of various downstream effectors on the human erythroid cell line, UT7/EPO (32), using phospho-flow cytometry (Fig. 2D).

Monomeric DARPins do not dimerize EpoR, generating no pSTAT5 signal. Both DARPin dimers induce comparable efficacy ($E_{\text{max}}$; the maximum response achieved) compared with EPO for pSTAT5 and pERK. Dimer A_R3 exhibits a higher $E_{\text{max}}$ for pSTAT1 and pSTAT3 than EPO, whereas dimer C_R3 exhibits a lower $E_{\text{max}}$ compared with EPO for pSTAT5. Thus, the relatively small orientational differences between dimers A_R3 and C_R3 result in apparent signaling differences.

### Topological control of EpoR dimerization geometry

To move toward topological control, we designed a series of NEEEC homodimers, systematically varying the scissor angle (rotation) and proximity between EpoR ECD monomers with two different geometries. We designed three different DARPin dimer extension series to interrogate signaling as a function of these parameters in a systematic manner (Fig. 3).

The "angle" series is intended to pivot the EpoR dimer in a scissorlike manner (Fig. 3, top). The "distance" series is intended to separate the EpoR subunits in the dimer while not substantially changing the dimer angle (Fig. 3, middle). The "mono-extended" series differs from the angle and distance series in that both EpoR subunits are bound to one extended DARPin (monomeric) that contains two EpoR binding sites (Fig. 3, bottom).

Systematic variation in each of these parameters can be achieved by inserting nonbinding "P" repeats derived from the consensus ankyrin repeat sequence, which changes the relative positions of the EpoR binding repeats across the designed dimerization interface in predictable ways that can be modeled. Because of the nonplanar, helical nature of the DARPin molecule (33), this results in dimers in which the two EpoR binding interfaces assume different separations and orientations (Fig. 3). To engineer the “angle" series, one or more P repeats are inserted at the C-terminal end to provide DARPin heads such as NEEEP, C_R3 (Fig. 3, top, and fig. S7). The dimerizing residues (indicated with underline) are engineered on the same terminus as that of the P repeat insertions. As the added repeats grow along a helical path, this results in the generation of a series of dimers with variation in the angle between the two receptor ECD monomers. The resultant extended dimer is termed A_angle_Rx, where A indicates the designed dimer interface, and "x" indicates the total number of ankyrin repeats. For example, NEEEP...EEEC is termed A_angle_R5.

To engineer the “distance" series, the nonbinding P repeats are inserted at the N terminus—for example, NPP...EEEC (Fig. 3, middle, and figs. S8 and S9). The dimerizing residues are also
placed at the N terminus so that this results in two helical DARPinDs growing in opposite directions with a twofold symmetry. With the addition of more P repeats, the binding repeats move further apart, leading to a distance-based series, termed A_dist_Rx.

Last, we designed a monomeric, extended DARPin with two binding sites included on the same DARPin chain (Fig. 3, bottom, and fig. S8), but with the bound EpoRs in an inverted rotational orientation relative to the dimerized DARPinDs (“face-to-back” versus “face-to-face”). The two sets of EpoR binding repeats are positioned at the two termini, separated by a series of P repeat insertions that can be varied in number to control the distance between the two receptors—for example, NEEEEPPPP...EEEC. These monomeric extended DARPinDs are termed M_Rx, where “x” indicates the total number of ankyrin repeats.

Each of the dimers was expressed in *E. coli*, purified through size-exclusion chromatography, and bound to EpoR with similar affinities, as measured with SPR (figs. S7 to S9). To validate the designs and also to determine whether any clashes were apparent between the EpoR receptors, we determined six crystal structures of

---

**Fig. 3. Topological control of EpoR geometry.** Three different series were designed to systematically modify the different components of receptor dimerization—specifically, angle (A_angle_Rx), distance (A_dist_Rx), and inversion of geometry (M_Rx). The insertion (indicated by blue arrows) of nonbinding “P” ankyrin repeats (blue) at different termini of nonplanar DARPinDs leads to different receptor dimer topologies. The relative movement of the two receptors with the insertion of P repeats is indicated by pink arrows. The series are generated through stepwise insertion of one P repeat, and the nomenclature “Rx” indicates the total number of ankyrin repeats. The cartoon representations are shown along with the crystal structures for one representative member per series: A_angle_R5 (PDB 6MOJ), A_dist_R7 (PDB 6MOK), and M_R12 (PDB 6MOL).
Because the phosphorylation barcoding data are compared with EPO by means of phospho-flow, bias was observed for STAT1 and STAT3 by A_dist_R5 (figs. S13 and S14). At higher concentrations, a increasing scissor angle (Fig. 4C, fig. S13B, and S13). In addition to the reduction in pSTAT5 and pERK E_max was observed, the first few members of A_dist exhibit the same E_max despite the increased distance between the receptors (Fig. 5B). Instead, the effects of the distance are reflected in a right shift in the E_max values. Furthermore, a bias toward higher pSTAT1 and pSTAT3 E_max than EPO or other distances was observed for A_dist_R5 (figs. S14 to S16). Analysis of a panel of downstream phosphorylated proteins by means of phospho-barcoding reflected the above trends, in which the strong bias was observed for STAT1 for the A_dist_R5 ligand (Fig. 5, C to E; figs. S14 and S15; and table S5). The biased and prolonged pSTAT1 response for A_dist_R5 was further verified through immunoblotting with 15- and 60-min ligand treatments (Fig. 5D) and may be attributable in part to the differential intracellular processing rate for the internalized receptors (Fig. S17). In general, ligands with faster internalization of cell-surface EpoR upon ligand treatment showed a more potent response. Furthermore, with an increase in angle or distance, a decrease in activity was observed, which correlates with the slower rate of internalization of the receptor. Recycling of EpoR back to the surface is slower for A_dist_R5 than other DARPin ligands. For the distance series, the right shift of the E_max was surprising because we would not imagine strain on the dimer through pure translation of the receptors to wider intermonomer distances unless there was receptor preassociation that could present an energy barrier to separation. Although we did not see EpoR predimerization unless there was receptor preassociation that could present an energy barrier to separation.

**EpoR angle series**

The basic building block of the angle series is A_R3 with zero P repeats (NEECEC), and subsequent members with one or more P repeats added in, A_angle_R4 (NEEPPPC) through A_angle_R7 (NEEPPPPPEECEC) (Fig. 4A). As measured with phospho-flow cytometry in UT7/EPO cells, the dimer A_angle series exhibits a progressive reduction in E_max levels of STAT5 phosphorylation as the EpoR dimer scissor half-angle increases from –38° (A_R3) to 72° (A_angle_R9) (Fig. 4, A and B). Dimers A_angle_R4 and A_angle_R5 act as partial agonists, whereas A_angle_R7 does not elic it a signal detectable with flow cytometry. Similarly, the C_angle series shows by means of flow cytometry a stepwise decrease in activation that is confirmed with immunoblotting (fig. S3). In addition to the reduction in E_max, the effects of increase in angle are also reflected by changes in median effective concentration (EC50), which is defined as the ligand concentration that provides 50% of maximal response (E_max). A progressive right shift was observed for the EC50 values, implying the need for a higher ligand concentration to generate the same levels of signal, despite all of the dimers having the same affinity for EpoR, as measured with SPR by using soluble EpoR ECD (fig. S7). We speculate that as the scissor angle between EpoRs becomes more extreme, strain is induced as a result of cell membrane constraints, and this is reflected in less efficient bivalent complex formation.

We also analyzed a broad panel of downstream phosphorylated proteins using phosphorylation barcoding, which provides a broad “footprint” of relative signal strengths. This data showed a similar trend of reduced E_max with increasing scissor angle (Fig. 4C, fig. S13B, and tables S3 and S4). At higher concentrations, a bias was observed for STAT1 and STAT3 by A_R3 compared with EPO by means of phospho-flow. Because the phosphorylation barcoding data are intended to convey qualitative relative signal strengths, we more precisely confirmed with immunoblotting the appearance of signal bias (Fig. 4D). A similar STAT1 bias was observed for A_angle_R5 and C_angle_R4, with extremely high pSTAT1 signal at highest concentrations (Fig. S13). Immunoblot analysis of cell lysates further verified the STAT1 bias observed for A_R3, A_angle_R5, and C_angle_R4 compared with EPO (Fig. 4D and figs. S13C and S14).

**EpoR distance series**

The basic building block of the distance series is A_R3 with zero P repeats (NEECEC), and subsequent members incorporate one or more P repeats added in, A_dist_R4 (NEEPEECEC) through A_dist_R9 (NPPPPPPPPEECEC) (Fig. 5A). Contrary to the angle series in which a reduction in pSTAT5 and pERK E_max was observed, the first few members of A_dist exhibit the same E_max despite the increased distance between the receptors (Fig. 5B). Instead, the effects of the distance are reflected in a right shift in the E_max values. Furthermore, a bias toward higher pSTAT1 and pSTAT3 E_max than EPO or other distances was observed for A_dist_R5 (figs. S14 to S16). Analysis of a panel of downstream phosphorylated proteins by means of phospho-barcoding reflected the above trends, in which the strong bias was observed for STAT1 for the A_dist_R5 ligand (Fig. 5, C to E; figs. S14 and S15; and table S5). The biased and prolonged pSTAT1 response for A_dist_R5 was further verified through immunoblotting with 15- and 60-min ligand treatments (Fig. 5D) and may be attributable in part to the differential intracellular processing rate for the internalized receptors (Fig. S17). In general, ligands with faster internalization of cell-surface EpoR upon ligand treatment showed a more potent response. Furthermore, with an increase in angle or distance, a decrease in activity was observed, which correlates with the slower rate of internalization of the receptor. Recycling of EpoR back to the surface is slower for A_dist_R5 than other DARPin ligands. For the distance series, the right shift of the E_max was surprising because we would not imagine strain on the dimer through pure translation of the receptors to wider intermonomer distances unless there was receptor preassociation that could present an energy barrier to separation. Although we did not see EpoR predimerization unless there was receptor preassociation that could present an energy barrier to separation. Therefore, these analyses together in a time-dependent manner can give us further insights into stage-selective dependencies of specific EpoR activities in erythropoiesis.
also resulted in reduced cellular proliferation (Fig. 6D). The cells cultured with EPO, A_R3, or A_dist_R5 showed at maximally potent concentrations very similar erythroid differentiation efficacy on differentiation day 5 (early phase of erythropoiesis), with CD235a^+CD71^− of 31.7 ± 1.0%, 28.0 ± 1.6%, and 30.9 ± 0.6%, respectively (Fig. 6E). However, on differentiation day 12, cells cultured with A_dist_R5 showed lower CD235a^+CD71^−-positive cells compared with EPO and A_R3. Cells cultured with A_dist_R5 exhibited 1.7 ± 0.1% CD235a^+CD71^− cells, whereas cells with the recombinant EPO and A_R3 showed 28.7 ± 2.0% and 26.8 ± 1.6% in this population, respectively, indicating the impairment of terminal erythropoiesis in the late stage with A_dist_R5 (Fig. 6E). Furthermore, cells cultured with A_dist_R5 demonstrated reduced proliferation, relative to EPO or A_R3, in the later stages of the erythroid differentiation culture (Fig. 6D), demonstrating that A_dist_R5 specifically promotes early erythropoiesis with impairments at the later stages. In addition, C_R3 promoted early erythropoiesis poorly but showed increased differentiation efficacy in the later stages (Fig. S18), suggesting that this ligand selectively promotes the later stages of erythropoiesis while having reduced stimulation earlier. Collectively, these results demonstrate how topological alterations in EpoR dimerization can selectively promote effective signaling at specific stages of human erythropoiesis but fail to effectively enable differentiation and proliferation at other stages.

Fig. 4. Signaling responses induced through variation in EpoR dimer angle. (A) Addition of P repeats at the C terminus leads to increase in the angle between the EpoR ECDs, as shown. (B) Signaling bias observed for the dose-response curves for the angle series. Shown are percentage of pSTAT1/3/5 and pERK induced by the various DARPin ligands relative to EPO in UT7/EPO cells. Data are mean ± SD for two independent replicates. A sigmoidal dose-response analysis was preferred over Gaussian distribution because only some ligands demonstrate a decrease in MFI values at high concentrations. (C) Bubble plot representation of the various downstream pathways activated by EPO or the DARPin ligands in UT7/EPO cells with 15, 60, and 120 min of stimulation. The size of the bubble correlates to the signal strength determined as the log2 value of the ratio of the signal generated by the ligand to unstimulated cells. (D) The levels of phosphorylated downstream effectors were analyzed in the cell lysates by immunoblotting after 15 and 60 min of ligand treatment. EpoR-immunoprecipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting for phosphorylation of specific Tyr residues in the EpoR ICD. The dashed lines indicate the sites where the immunoblots were cropped for clarity. The uncropped immunoblots are included in fig. S14.
Fig. 5. Signaling responses induced by variation in EpoR ECD proximity. (A) Addition of P repeats at the N terminus leads to increase in the distance between the EpoR ECDs, as shown. (B) Signaling bias observed for the dose-response curves for the distance series. Percentage of pSTAT5 and pERK induced by the various DARPin ligands relative to EPO in UT7/EPO cells. Data are mean ± SD for two independent replicates. A sigmoidal dose-response analysis was preferred over Gaussian distribution because only some ligands demonstrate a decrease in MFI values at high concentrations. (C) Bubble plot representation of the various downstream pathways activated by EPO or the DARPin ligands in UT7/EPO cells with 15, 60, and 120 min of stimulation. The size of the bubble correlates to the signal strength. (D) Immunoblot analysis of phosphorylated downstream effectors in cell lysates after 15 and 60 min of ligand treatment, and analysis of EpoR-immunoprecipitates for phosphorylation of specific Tyr residues in the EpoR ICD. The dashed lines indicate the sites where the immunoblots were cropped for clarity. The uncropped immunoblots are included in fig. S14. (E) The log2 value of the ratio of the signal generated by the ligand to unstimulated cells at 60 min. (F) The model for M_R11 binding to EpoR. (G) Inversion of the relative geometry of the EpoR ECDs for the mono-extended M_R11, which possesses a similar inter-ECD distance to A_dist_R6, leads to a complete loss of pSTAT5 activity.
Fig. 6. Effects on hematopoiesis of topologically controllable EpoR ligands. (A) Overview of erythropoiesis and in vitro differentiation of human primary hematopoietic cells. (B) Erythroid differentiation from CD34⁺ HSPC with surrogate EPO ligands. Differentiation has been assessed with flow cytometry with the markers CD71 and CD235a at day 12 of differentiation. Means ± SEM for three independent experiments are shown. (C) Total CD235a⁺ cells and (D) overall cell proliferation during erythroid differentiation. Data are means ± SEM for three independent experiments. (E) Flow cytometry analysis of erythroid differentiation by EPO, A_R3, or A_dist_R5 at different time points are shown with flow cytometry analysis. Means ± SEM for three independent experiments are shown. (F) Experimental scheme of analyzing ligand-stimulated downstream signaling stimulated by EPO, A_R3, A_dist_R5, and C_R3 during erythropoiesis. (G) Total MFI of pSTAT1/3/5 stimulated with EPO, A_R3, A_dist_R5, or C_R3 at early (day 5 of differentiation) and late (day 12 of differentiation) erythropoiesis. Data are (mean ± SEM) for three independent experiments. **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05.

These phenotypes led us to ask whether there may be biased signaling responses downstream of EpoR that correlate with such effects (Fig. 6F). Erythroid cells stimulated with A-dist_R5 showed higher activation of STAT1 and STAT3, but lowered pSTAT5 was observed in the late stage of erythropoiesis and STAT3, but lowered pSTAT5 was observed when using medicinal chemistry. Although the strategy itself is mechanistically agnostic, the signaling effects we observed in response to the topologically varied ligands are likely due to two factors. First, the orientational effects of the ECD could propagate to the ICDS that associate with the JAK2 kinases, affecting their relative proximities and orientations, which alters the efficiency by which JAK2 acts on its subsequent substrates on the EpoR ICD and STAT1, -3, and -5 (Figs. 4D and 5D and fig. S14). In different receptor topologies, the JAK2/ICD complexes are likely repositioned into orientations that are more or less conducive for transphosphorylation of the opposing JAK2 in the dimer, as well as tyrosine sites on the EpoR ICD. This could subsequently result in altered recruitment and/or phosphorylation of STATs and other adaptors that directly engage the JAK2 and ICD phospho-tyrosines, and these membrane-proximal phosphorylation differences will subsequently propagate to gene expression programs in the nucleus (41, 42). In general, the more extreme angles and distances result in less efficient downstream phosphorylation of all downstream adaptors to similar extents. However, uncoupling in the efficiency of JAK2 and STAT phosphorylation for some of the ligands—for example, A-R3, A-angle_R5, and A-dist_R5—results in the emergence of biased STAT activation.

A second explanation of the observed effects likely relates to mechanical distortion. As the topologies are forced into more extreme ranges, strain is induced in the system, resulting in fewer numbers of signaling complexes formed, with subsequent reduced receptor dimerization efficiency as observed with single-molecule assays, leading to lower $E_{\text{max}}$ and right-shifted $E_{\text{p8}}$ and disproportionately affecting some second messengers more than others. Supporting this interpretation, there is a systematic pattern of reduced $E_{\text{max}}$ that correlates with increasing dimer angle. For the distance series, there is no change in $E_{\text{max}}$ until a sharp threshold, at which point it decreases abruptly. This could reflect a “rupture” distance at which point dimerized JAK2 molecules can no longer transphosphorylate efficiently. This rupture happens earlier with the angle series than with the distance series because the contours needed to recover are greater. Possibly, both the angle and distance series interfere with weak, cooperative interactions between the transmembrane domains of EpoR that have been reported (34, 35).

As we have demonstrated for RBC biogenesis, this approach is suitable for examining how specific properties of cytokine signaling pathways may have differentiation-stage specificity in hematopoiesis or other aspects of cell differentiation. This approach could also be used to survey cytokine receptor dimer geometries in order to identify signaling outputs of clinical interest in other cytokine receptor system, such as in the immune system. The scaffold we describe here could be used as a preclinical pharmacological tool to determine whether different degrees of agonism are optimal for a given cytokine in a particular therapeutic indication.

**Materials and methods**

**EpoR protein expression and purification**

The DNA encoding for EpoR ECD was cloned into pAcGP67-A, an insect cell protein expression vector. The vector includes a C-terminal 3C protease site, followed by a biotin-acceptor peptide tag (BAP tag, GLNDIFEAQKIEW), and a hexa-Histidine tag for affinity purification. The DNA encoding for the glycomutant of EpoR ECD-N46Q, N157Q (25) was also cloned into pAcGP67-A with a 6-His tag for affinity purification. The proteins were expressed using the baculovirus expression system. The baculovirus stocks were prepared by cotransfection of the BaculoSapphire DNA (OrbiGen) and the pAcGP67-A DNA into *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Sf9). Next, the viruses were used to infect the *Trichoplusia ni* (High Five) cells. After 72 hours, the proteins were harvested from the supernatant and purified using nickel affinity chromatography (Nickel-NTA, Qiagen), followed by size-exclusion chromatography with a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare). The proteins were maintained in HEPES buffered saline (HBS, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM sodium chloride). EpoR ECD was site-specifically biotinylated at the C-terminal BAP tag using BirA ligase and re-purified by size exclusion chromatography. Protein concentrations were determined by UV absorbance at 280 nm using a Nanodrop2000 spectrometer (Thermo Scientific), and then stored at –80°C.

**DARPin expression and purification**

The DNA encoding for the N2C and N3C DARPin proteins was cloned into pET-28 vector, a bacterial expression vector with a Kanamycin antibiotic resistance marker. The vector includes a C-terminal hexa-Histidine tag for affinity purification. The plasmids containing the gene of interest were used to transform Rosetta DE3 competent cells. The cells were grown at 37°C in 2YT media supplemented with Kanamycin (40 μg/mL) until the culture reached log-phase growth. Next, IPTG was added to the culture to induce protein expression at a final concentration of 1 mM. The culture was shaken at 37°C for 3 hours. Next, the proteins were harvested from the cells by sonication, and purified using nickel affinity chromatography, followed by size-exclusion chromatography with a Superdex 50 column (GE Healthcare). The proteins were maintained in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 135 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 8.0 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 30 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, pH 7.2). Protein concentrations were determined by UV absorbance at 280 nm using a Nanodrop2000 spectrometer (Thermo Scientific), and then stored at –80°C.
The constructs for DARPin dimers based on the dimer C_R3 scaffold include an N-terminal hexa-Histidine tag for affinity purification. All of the extended DARPin proteins were maintained in Tris-buffered saline (50 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl). The DARPin dimers A_dist_R5 through A_dist_R10, all of the mono-extended M_Rx in Tris-buffered saline (50 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl). The DARPin dimers were displayed on the surface of yeast S. cerevisiae by fusion to the N-terminal 3C protease cleavage site and a C-terminal cMyc epitope.

**Cell culture**
The human UT7/EPO cells (32) were cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium) supplemented with 10% v/v fetal bovine serum, penicillin-streptomycin, 1 mM L-glutamine, HEPES buffer, and 2 U/mL recombinant EPO (Amgen) (20). The cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2. The cultures were passaged every 2 to 3 days.

**Yeast display of DARPin**
DARPin displays were performed on the surface of yeast S. cerevisiae strain EBY100 by fusion to the C terminus of the Aga2 protein. The DARPin insert, along with a C-terminal 3C protease cleavage site and a C-terminal cMyc epitope were cloned into the PCT302 vector. Briefly, competent yeast cells were electroplated with plasmids and recovered in SDCAA selection media at 30°C (43). Next, grown cultures were passaged in SDCAA media before inducing expression in SGCAA media. The cultures were induced at 30°C for 24 hours, followed by 20°C. The display of full-length DARPin on the surface of yeast was confirmed by staining the cells with an Alexa Fluor 488-labeled anti-cMyc antibody (1:100 dilution; Cell Signaling) and monitoring the fluorescence by flow cytometry.

**Yeast displayed DARPin libraries**
Two site-directed DARPin libraries (N2C and N3C) were created by assembly PCR using the plasmids and recovered in SDCAA selection media and inducted in SGCAA media, as described above. For round 1, EpoR was mixed with 1000 µl of magnetic streptavidin microbeads (Militenyi) to a final concentration of 400 nM. The mixture was then incubated with DARPin-displaying yeast cells at a final volume of 20 mL in PBE (PBS, 0.5% bovine serum albumin, 2 mM EDTA). The cells were incubated with magnet for 2 hours at 4°C. Next, the yeast cells were washed with PBE and then incubated with anti-Alexa Fluor 647-labeled Alexa Fluor 647. The cells were then washed with PBE and incubated with anti-Alexa Fluor 647-labeled Alexa Fluor 647. The yeast cells were recovered with SDCAA selection media and induced in SGCAA media, as described above.

**Second-generation library: Evolution of high-affinity DARPin**
The two second-generation DARPin libraries (N2C and N3C) were panned against the Epo R ECD to select for high affinity clones using a combination of magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). Biotinylated EpoR was used for all the selection rounds unless otherwise noted. The first round of selection was performed with 5.4 × 10^9 N2C and 5 × 10^9 N3C yeast cells to ensure coverage of library diversity. First, EpoR was mixed with 500 µl of magnetic streptavidin microbeads (Militenyi) to a final concentration of 400 nM. The mixture was then incubated with DARPin-displaying yeast cells at a final volume of 20 mL in PBE (PBS, 0.5% bovine serum albumin, 2 mM EDTA). The cells were incubated with shaking for 2 hours at 4°C. Next, the yeast cells were washed with PBE and then incubated with MACS LS separation columns (Militenyi) to isolate the EpoR binders. The enriched library pool was regrown in SDCAA media and then induced in SGCAA. In subsequent rounds, the total number of yeast cells used was ten times the number of yeast cells eluted in the previous round or 1 × 10^11 cells, whichever is larger.

For round 2, the on-bead selection with high avidity effects was repeated to select for more EpoR binders without increasing the stringency. EpoR was mixed with 250 µl of magnetic streptavidin microbeads (Militenyi) to a final concentration of 400 nM and incubated with yeast-displayed DARPin cells in 10 mL final volume. In round 3, the library pool (1 × 10^8 yeast cells) was incubated with Alexa Fluor 647-labeled anti-cMyc antibody, to enrich for transformants displaying full length DARPin clones. The mixture was incubated with shaking for 2 hours at 4°C, followed by PBE washes. The yeast cells were then incubated with anti-Alexa Fluor 647 microbeads (Militenyi) and flowed over MACS LS separation columns to isolate the full-length clones.

In subsequent rounds, FACS method was employed to selectively enrich for EpoR binding clones. For round 4, the propagated and induced yeast cells from round 3 were incubated with EpoR at a final concentration of 1 µM monomer, in 1 mL PBE, with shaking for 2 hours at 4°C. The yeast cells were washed with PBE and then incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-labeled anti-cMyc antibody (Cell Signaling) and streptavidin-conjugated Alexa Fluor 647. The cells were then sorted using FACS to select for clones that exhibited high levels of EpoR binding (MFI of Alexa Fluor 647) relative to DARPin display levels (MFI of Alexa Fluor 488) on yeast.

Similarly, for the N2C library, the subsequent rounds of selections were performed at 333 nM, 40 nM and 4 nM EpoR concentrations for rounds 5, 6, and 7, respectively. Whereas, for the N3C library, the subsequent rounds of selections were performed at 333 nM, 10 nM and 4 nM EpoR concentrations for rounds 5, 6, and 7, respectively.
Analysis of individual clones

To isolate individual clones, yeast cultures from the last selection rounds were plated on SDCAA agarose plates. Individual colonies were transferred to SDCAA media in culture tubes, passaged and then induced. Next, 93 clones were screened against a fixed EpoR concentration. For each clone, ~5 x 10^5 cells were transferred to a V-bottom plate and incubated overnight with biotinylated EpoR at 4°C. The cells were washed and stained with streptavidin-conjugated Alexa Fluor 647. Next, the clones were analyzed for binding using flow cytometry. The highest affinity clones were identified, and their plasmids were isolated using the Zymoprep Yeast Plasmid Miniprep II Kit (Zymo Research), and sequenced. Yeast-displayed DARPin ligands were also titrated against EpoR at different concentrations to obtain EC_50 values. As described above, ~5 x 10^5 cells were transferred to a V-bottom plate and incubated with 100 μL of serially diluted EpoR solution. Next, the cells were washed, stained, and then analyzed for EpoR binding by flow cytometry.

Surface plasmon resonance

Dissociation constants (K_d) for EpoR-binding DARPin ligands were determined by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) using the BIAcore T100 instrument (GE Healthcare). First, biotinylated EpoR was captured on a streptavidin-coated (SA) sensor chip (GE Healthcare) with immobilization density in the range of 100 resonance units (RU). Similarly, a control flow cell was also prepared with an off-target protein such as biotinylated-INF1 or biotinylated-Fzd8 for reference subtraction. The binding kinetics were performed at 25°C with a flow rate of 50 μL/min. EpoR binding DARPin ligands were serially diluted in HBS buffer supplemented with 0.005% P20 surfactant (GE Healthcare) and injected over the SA chip. Dissociation kinetics were monitored for 200-500 s, as needed, based on the ligand affinity. For high affinity ligands, the chip was regenerated with 4 M MgCl2 after each ligand injection. The binding kinetics and dissociation constants were determined using the BIAcore T100 evaluation software. The sensograms obtained were either fit to the 1.1 kinetic binding model or to the steady-state affinity model. The kinetic binding curves for each ligand were generated by plotting the time-dependent response units in Prism 7 (GraphPad).

Error-prone PCR

The EpoR binding individual clones obtained from the first set of selections were subjected to error prone PCR to introduce random mutations using the GeneMorph II Random Mutagenesis kit (Agilent). Various amounts of DNA template were tested to quantify the rate of mutagenesis. The GeneMorph kit was used at a concentration of 0.1 ng DNA/reaction, to achieve an average mutation rate of 2.5 to 3 mutations/gene. The PCR product was further amplified and purified by gel electrophoresis for DNA shuffling.

DNA shuffling

The EpoR binding clones with random mutations inserted through error-prone PCR were subjected to DNA shuffling (44) to enhance binding affinity. The amplified and purified DNA product from various clones was combined, and then used as the template for DNA shuffling. Briefly, 2 μg of combined DNA was subjected to DNase treatment (DNase I, Invitrogen) by incubating at 15°C for three mins, followed by 80°C for 10 min to denature the enzyme. The DNA fragments were subjected to PCR clean-up (Qiagen) to remove extremely small fragments. Next, the fragments were allowed to recombine at regions of moderate homology. The PCR was performed using Taq (New England Biolabs) and PfuUltra (Agilent) polymerases with progressive hybridization. The reaction product was further amplified using PfuUltra polymerase. The product was purified using gel electrophoresis and the bands corresponding to N2C and N3C sizes were specifically extracted and purified. The gel-purified product was combined with linearized PCT302 vector and electroporated into EBY100 yeast cells to create the second-generation libraries. Diversity of library generated through electroporation:

N2C library: 3.2 x 10^6
N3C library: 4.6 x 10^6

Engineering the extended DARPIns

The modular nature of the DARPin was utilized toward the generation of the extended DARPIns. The DARPin molecules are non-planar, and each repeat provides a 2.3° counter-clockwise rotation relative to the preceding repeat (33). Thus, it is crucial to maintain the overall curvature of the DARPin to avoid a loss in binding affinity while inserting more ankyrin repeats. The sequence of the P repeat was carefully designed to maintain the curvature observed in the N3C E2 monomer, and the A_R3 and C_R3 dimers. The framework residues in the P repeat were based on the sequence of the consensus repeat. The positions that were randomized in the library design (denoted as X) (fig S1) were assigned residues from a Frizzled8-binding DARPin. As a negative control, a DARPin dimer comprising of only non-binding P repeats was designed (NNPPPC) to eliminate the possibility of off-target (Frizzled8) effects. The control dimer shows no affinity toward EpoR or Frizzled8 (fig S6).

As described above, the E2 DARPIns is comprised of three EpoR binding repeats, denoted as “EEE,” and the N- and C-terminal capping regions. To engineer the “angle” series, one or more P repeats was inserted at the C terminus to provide DARPIns such as NEEEC-C. Furthermore, the dimerizing repeats (indicated by underline) are placed on the same terminus as the P repeat insertions for maximum impact. In dimer A_R3/C_R3 (NEEEC), the dimerizing residues span over the three ankyrin repeats and the two capping regions. To generate A_angle_R4, one P repeat was inserted at the C-terminal end (NEEEPCC) and the set of dimerizing residues were also shifted by one repeat. Similarly, the design for A_angle_R5 can summarized as NEEPPPCC.

P repeat sequence: DAAGMPPLHAAANG-HLEIVEVLKYGADVNAK

To engineer the “distance” series, the non-binding P repeats were inserted at the N terminus, for example NPP..EEE. Insertion of repeats at the N terminus proved challenging, as illustrated in fig S9. A straightforward design based on the angle series such as NPEEEC displayed a significant loss in binding affinity toward EpoR. Three possible reasons were identified. First, an analysis of the models revealed a clash between residues of the inner helices from the first binding repeat and the preceding P repeat (fig. S7A). No such clashes were observed in the E2 DARPin where the N-terminal capping region helix precedes the first binding repeat (fig. S7B). Second, an analysis of the sequence of the E2 N-terminal capping region revealed a Glu to Lys mutation in the inner helix, which resulted from the error-prone mutagenesis performed during affinity maturation. The Lys residue is well positioned to interact with EpoR. Third, insertion of the P repeat implies existence of β-turn loops preceding the loop region from the first binding repeat. In E2, A_R3 (NEEEC) and the angle series, no β-turn loops precede the loop region for the first ankyrin repeat. The newly inserted loops could introduce destabilizing interactions. To address these issues, a modified repeat (P’) was used as the repeat preceding the first E repeat such as NP..P’EEEC. In the P’ repeat, the sequence of the inner helix was modified from HLAAANG to HKAAARG. The “KAAR” sequence is derived from the inner helix of the N-terminal capping region and installed in the P’ repeat based on structural symmetry of the two helices. This eliminates the clashes between the helices and also re-positions the Lys residue to interact with EpoR. Furthermore, the loop region with the sequence DAAGMI was shortened to Gly, to facilitate the turn while eliminating any destabilizing interactions, (fig. S7C). Increase in the loop length for DARPin has been previously published (45). Here, we show that the loops can also be shortened without affecting the structure for A_dist_R7 (fig S7D). The dimerizing residues are now maintained at the N-terminal repeats. To generate A_dist_R4, P’ repeat and the dimerizing residues were inserted at the N-terminal end (NP’EEEC). Whereas, to generate A_dist_R5, one P’ followed by one P repeat was inserted, NPP’EEEC. Similarly, the design for A_dist_R6 can summarized as NPP’PEEC. Also, the sequence of the P repeat used for generating the distance series is different from the P repeat used for the angle series (C-terminal insertions). But both the sequences are referred to as “P repeats” for the sake of simplicity.

P’ repeat sequence: DAAGTPPLHEAAARGHLEIVEVLKYGADVNAV

To engineer the “mono-extended” DARPin series, two sets of EpoR binding repeats were included on the same DARPin molecule. This series is based on monomeric extended DARPin, and thus named “mono-extended.” To generate
a series, the two sets of binding repeats were positioned at either termini, and the P repeats are inserted in the middle, for example NEEPPPP...EEEC. The second set of EpoR binding repeats are positioned similar to the distance series. Thus, the P approach was adopted for the generation of the mono-extended series, as well. The insertion of one to four repeats in the middle showed severe clashes in the models generated. As a result, the first member of the series showed severe clashes in the models gene-generation of the mono-extended series, as well. The dose-response curves were generated and plotted in Prism 7 (GraphPad). The MFI values were normalized to the MFI of untreated cells. The antibodies used are as follows: anti-EpoR (VP2E8, Abcam), Alexa Fluor 647 Goat anti-mouse (A21235, Invitrogen).

### Phospho-flow signaling assays

UT7/EPO cells were starved overnight for ~18 hours in base media without EPO. The cells were stimulated with EPO or the DARPin ligands for 15 min at 37°C, followed by fixation with paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 10 min at room temperature. Next, the cells were permeabilized for intracellular staining by treatment with methanol (Fisher) for 30 min at -20°C. The cells were then incubated with the desired antibodies at a 1:50 dilution for 1 hour at room temperature. The levels of the various phosphorylated proteins correspond to the measured values of mean fluorescence intensity (MFI). The background fluorescence of the unstained samples was subtracted from all the readouts. Data was acquired using CytoFlex, flow cytometer instrument (Beckman Coulter). The MFI values were normalized to the MFI of EPO at 37.04 nM and plotted in Prism 7 (GraphPad). The dose-response curves were generated using the "sigmoidal dose-response" analysis. A Gaussian distribution analysis was excluded as only some ligands demonstrate a decrease in MFI values at high concentrations. All the antibodies used were purchased from BD Biosciences: Alexa Fluor 488 Mouse Anti-Stat1 (pY701), Alexa Fluor 647 Mouse Anti-Stat3 (pY705), Alexa Fluor 488 Mouse Anti-Stat3 (pY705), Alexa Fluor 647 Mouse Anti-Stat5 (pY694), and Alexa Fluor 488 Mouse Anti-ERK1/2 (pY202, pY204).

### Phosphorylated protein analysis

UT7/EPO cells were starved overnight and stimulated with saturating concentrations of EPO and DARPin ligands for 15, 60, and 120 min at 37°C, followed by fixation with paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. Next, the cells were washed and resuspended in 0.5% bovine serum albumin in PBS, and stored at -80°C. The cells were then prepared for staining according to standard protocols. The cells were stained with a panel of antibodies (Prinity Bio Pathway Phentypotyping service) and analyzed on LSRII Flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). The levels of phosphorylated protein were calculated as the Log position at either termini, and the P repeats are positioned similar to the distance series. Thus, the P approach was adopted for the generation of the mono-extended series, as well. The insertion of one to four repeats in the middle showed severe clashes in the models generated. As a result, the first member of the series showed severe clashes in the models gene-generation of the mono-extended series, as well. The dose-response curves were generated and plotted in Prism 7 (GraphPad). The MFI values were normalized to the MFI of untreated cells. The antibodies used are as follows: anti-EpoR (VP2E8, Abcam), Alexa Fluor 647 Goat anti-mouse (A21235, Invitrogen).

### Crystallization, data collection, and refinement

Protein complexes were formed by mixing 1:2 molar ratios of DARPin and EpoR ECD (or 1:1 DARPin/EpoR in the case of monomeric E2) along with Carboxypeptidases A and B at 1:100 (w/w), and phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride at 0.5 mM final concentration. After overnight incubation at 4°C, complexes were purified by FPLC on an S200 column, eluted in HBS buffer, and concentrated. Crystallization was performed by sitting drop vapor diffusion using a Mosquito nanoliter pipetting robot. Monomeric E2-EpoR complex crystals were grown at 9 mg/ml from 1 M NaH2PO4/KH2PO4, pH 5.6. Crystals were cryoprotected by addition of ethylene glycol to 25% before harvesting for data collection at APS beamline 23 ID-B. C_R3 crystals were grown at 17 mg/ml from 0.1 M Tris pH 8.5, 25% PEG 3350, 1 mM reduced glutathione, and 1 mM oxidized glutathione. Crystals were cryoprotected by the addition of ethylene glycol to 25% before harvesting for data collection at SSRL beamline 12-2.

The C_R3/EpoR complex crystals were grown at 6 mg/ml from 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.3 and 1.4 M NaKHP04. Crystals were cryoprotected by the addition of glycerol to 24% before harvesting for data collection at ALS beamline 8.2.2. The A_angle_R5/EpoR complex was crystallized at 4.5 mg/ml from 0.2 M K/Na tartrate, 0.1 M Bis Tris propane pH 8.5, and 20% PEG 3350 and cryoprotected by the addition of glycerol to 30% before harvesting for data collection at ALS beamline 5.0.1. Crystals of the C_angle_R5/EpoR complex were grown at 6 mg/ml from 0.3 M MgSO4, 0.1 M Bis-Tris Propane pH 7.0, and 22.5% PurePEGS cocktail (Anatrace) and cryoprotected by the addition of ethylene glycol to 30% before harvesting for data collection at ALS beamline 5.0.1. The A_dist_R7/EpoR complex was crystallized at 8 mg/ml from 0.2 M NaCl, 0.1 M Tris pH 8.5, and 25% PEG 3350 and harvested without further cryoprotection for data collection at ALS beamline 8.2.1. Initial crystals of the M_R12/EpoR complex were grown at 10 mg/ml from 0.2 M NaCl, 0.1 M CAPS pH 10.5, and 20% PEG 3350. These crystals were pulverized to form a seed stock for subsequent seeding into 0.2 M ammonium tartrate and 20% PEG 3350. The resulting crystals were dehydrated for 1 month by increasing the reservoir concentration to 25% PEG 3350. The crystals were cryoprotected by addition of 10% glycerol and 10% ethylene glycol before harvesting for data collection at APS beamline 23ID-B. All datasets were integrated and scaled using XDS (46) before merging symmetry-related reflections with Aimless (47, 48). Due to anisotropy of the C_R3/EpoR, A_angle_R5/EpoR, C_angle_R5/EpoR, and M_R12/EpoR datasets, final ellipsoid truncation was performed using STARaniso before merging reflections (49).
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Structures were solved by molecular replacement with Phaser (30) using the crystal structure of EpoR [Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID 1ERN], split into D1 and D2 domains, and the predicted DARPin structures as search models, or in the case of the C\_R3/EpoR complex using the refined C\_R3 crystal structure. With the exceptions noted below, crystallographic models were prepared by iterative rounds of manual rebuilding and reciprocal space refinement with COOT (51) and Phenix (52–54). For the C\_R3/EpoR, C\_angle\_R5/EpoR, and M\_R12/EpoR complexes, additional refinement with BUSTER (55, 56) was performed to aid convergence of the structure before final refinement in Phenix. TLS refinement was performed for all structures except for the C\_R3 dimer, for which anisotropic atomic displacement parameters (ADPs) were refined, and A\_dist\_R7/EpoR. Individual ADPs were refined for all structures except that grouped ADPs were refined for M\_R12/EpoR and ADPs were not refined for A\_dist\_R7/EpoR. For the low-resolution A\_dist\_R7/EpoR structure, after molecular replacement the structure was manually edited in COOT to correct the amino acid sequence and to delete loop 4 of A\_dist\_R7, which was present in the search model but absent in the purified protein. Model refinement was then completed by rigid body refinement in Phenix and jelly body refinement in REFMAC (57–59). Software used in this project was installed and configured by SBGrid (60). Crystallographic data collection and refinement statistics along with PDB deposition codes are reported in tables S1 and S2.

**Generation of models**

The synthetic EpoR agonists described in this work have a unique property that differentiate them from previous efforts at generating synthetic type I cytokine agonists, namely they have fairly well-defined and rigid conformations that provide a structural basis for each observed physiological output. This advantage was leveraged by generating a crystallographic structure-informed set of signaling complex models for each of the A\_dist\_Rx, A\_angle\_Rx, and C\_angle\_Rx series. To best recapitulate both the backbone topology of the P repeat and the N\(-\)/C-terminal domain of EpoR binding, the backbone coordinates from the M\_R12/EpoR crystal structure were used to construct each experimentally tested member of this extension series using the Rosetta macromolecular modeling suite. While most presented non-clashing complex models upon superposition of the E2-EpoR structure with the extended backbone, several cases showed significant clashes between EpoR subunits that could not be physically feasible. We used a constrained minimization protocol on each of the clashing complex models to generate a complete set of plausible models.

**Backbone and symmetric complex generation**

Backbone coordinates for each DARPin extension length were derived from the M\_R12/EpoR structure while keeping capping modules intact. For A/C\_angle, the N-terminal EpoR binding mode was preserved from M\_R12. For A\_dist, the C-terminal EpoR binding mode was preserved which included the P\_shortened loop modification which was impactful for binding. Each DARPin/EpoR complex was aligned to chain A of dimers A (pdb id 5HR7) and C (pdb id 5KRA). The synthetic signaling complex was generated in the Rosetta macromolecular modeling suite using a symmetry definition file to generate the C2 symmetry starting from each aligned DARPin/EpoR complex. The experimentally tested sequences were threaded to each backbone using the Rosetta packing algorithm with the default talaris2014 score function followed by side-chain energy minimization.

**Relax of EpoR clashing models**

Models that presented clashes between the membrane-proximal regions of the EpoR ECD were identified by visual inspection. An analysis of the EpoR conformation in all the crystal structures obtained for this study was preserved from M\_R12. For A\_dist, the N-terminal EpoR binding mode was preserved from M\_R12. For A\_dist, the C-terminal EpoR binding mode was preserved which included the P\_shortened loop modification which was impactful for binding. Each DARPin/EpoR complex was aligned to chain A of dimers A (pdb id 5HR7) and C (pdb id 5KRA). The synthetic signaling complex was generated in the Rosetta macromolecular modeling suite using a symmetry definition file to generate the C2 symmetry starting from each aligned DARPin/EpoR complex. The experimentally tested sequences were threaded to each backbone using the Rosetta packing algorithm with the default talaris2014 score function followed by side-chain energy minimization.

**Single molecule fluorescence imaging**

Single molecule imaging was performed by TIRF microscopy. As described previously (20, 24) an inverted microscope (Olympus IX71) equipped with a triple-line total internal reflection (TIR) condenser (Olympus) and a back-illuminated electron multiplied (EM) CCD camera (iXon DU897D, Andor Technology) was used. The sample was TIR illuminated via a 150x magnification objective with a numerical aperture of 1.45 (UAPON 150 x 1.45 TIRF Olympus). RhoI was excited by a 561 nm laser (CrystalLaser) at 0.95 mW (~32 W/cm²) and DY647 by a 642 nm laser (Omicron) at 0.65 mW (~22W/cm²). For fluorescent detection a spectral image splitter (Dual-View, Optical Insight) with a 640 DCXR dichroic beam splitter (Chroma) combined with a 580/40 (Semrock, RhoII detection) and 690/70 (Chroma, DY647 detection) bandpass filters has been used dividing each emission channel into 512 × 256 pixel. For each cell image stacks of 150 frames were recorded with temporal resolution of approximately 32 ms/frame.

**Single molecule analyses**

The workflow of single molecule analyses is outlined in fig. S6A. Single molecule localization was assessed by using multiple-target tracing (MXT) algorithm (63) and tracking was performed, as described previously (64). Because immobile molecules (typically ~10% of the entire dataset) were mostly caused by either non-specific binding of labeled NBs to the coverslip or by endosomal uptake of receptors, these were identified by spatiotemporal cluster analysis (59) and rigorously correspond to the relationship between the transmembrane or intracellular portions of EpoR in the cellular context.
removed from the dataset. Dual-color co-tracking analysis was carried out as described recently (20). Prior to each experiment, RhodII and DY647 channels were aligned with sub-pixel precision based on a calibration with multicolor fluorescent beads (TetraSpeck microspheres 0.1 μm, Invitrogen). Individual molecules detected in both spectral channels within the same frame and within a distance threshold of 100 nm were considered as co-localized. In order to reconstruct co-localization trajectories (co-trajectories) from the identified population of co-localizing particles, the tracking algorithm was applied to the co-localization dataset. Co-trajectories with a minimum length of 10 consecutive steps (320 ms) were considered as receptor dimers. The relative fraction of co-localization was calculated with the absolute number of trajectories from both channels and was corrected for stochastically double labeling with the same fluorophore within a dimer. The relative fraction of co-localizing particles was assessed with respect to the absolute number of tracked particles in both channels and corrected for stochastically double-labeled dimers by the same fluorophore species:

\[
\text{rel. co \ - \ locomotion} = \frac{2 \times AB}{A + B + 2 \times (\frac{1}{X} + \frac{1}{Y})}
\]

Where A, B, and AB are the absolute number of trajectories observed for RhodII and DY647 as well as co-trajectories, respectively (first part of the product determines the relative co-localization while the second part determines the correction factor for stochastically double labeling).

**Human primary cell culture and analysis**

CD34+ hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells from mobilized peripheral blood were purchased from the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center. Primary cells were previously described (18). Cells were cultured with one of following ligands: EPO (3 U/mL), A_R3 (10 nM), A_\text{angle-R}_4 (1 μM), A_\text{angle-R}_5 (1 μM), A_\text{angle-R}_6 (1 μM), C_R3 (10 nM), C_\text{angle-R}_4 (100 nM), C_\text{angle-R}_5 (100 nM), C_\text{angle-R}_6 (100 nM), A_\text{dist-R}_5 (333 nM), and A_\text{dist-R}_7 (1 μM). Cell concentration was measured using an automated cell counter (Beckman Coulter). To evaluate erythroid differentiation, cells were maintained with cytokine-containing medium (FTC, CD11b/CD41a-Phycytoerythrin (PE), and CD235a-Allophycocyanin (APC), Propidium Iodide (PI; 1:1,000) was used to discriminate live and dead cells. All antibodies were used at a 1:20 dilution, unless otherwise noted.

For phospho-STAT1 staining, differentiated primary erythroid cells were starved in cytokine-free media (1% BSA in IMDM) for 4 hours and stimulated either with EPO (3U/mL), A_R3 (10 nM), or A_\text{dist-R}_5 (333 nM) for 1 hour. Treated cells were fixed and permeabilized as previously described (18). Then, cells were stained with Alexa Fluor-647 Mouse Anti-STAT1 (pY701; 1:20 dilution), or Alexa Fluor-647 Mouse Anti-STAT1 (pY701; 1:20 dilution). Data were acquired using either BD Accuri C6 Cytometer or Canto instruments (Becton, Dickinson and Company) and all analysis was performed using FlowJo.
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Exploring a range of signaling
Cytokines are small proteins that bind to the extracellular domains of transmembrane receptors to activate signaling pathways inside the cell. They often act by dimerizing their receptors, and changes in dimer orientation of the extracellular domains can change the signaling output. Mohan et al. systematically explored this tuning effect by designing a series of dimer ligands for the erythropoietin receptor in which they varied the distance and angle between monomers. The topology affected the strength of activation and differentially affected different pathways, which raises the potential for exploiting such ligands in medicinal chemistry. Science, this issue p. eaav7532