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SUMMARY

Most cell-surface receptors for cytokines and growth factors signal as dimers, but it is unclear whether re-modeling receptor dimer topology is a viable strategy to “tune” signaling output. We utilized diabodies (DA) as surrogate ligands in a prototypical dimeric receptor-ligand system, the cytokine Erythropoietin (EPO) and its receptor (EpoR), to dimerize EpoR ectodomains in non-native architectures. Diobody-induced signaling amplitudes varied from full to minimal agonism, and structures of these DA/EpoR complexes differed in EpoR dimer orientation and proximity. Diabodies also elicited biased or differential activation of signaling pathways and gene expression profiles compared to EPO. Non-signaling diabodies inhibited proliferation of erythroid precursors from patients with a myeloproliferative neoplasm due to a constitutively active JAK2V617F mutation. Thus, intracellular oncogenic mutations causing ligand-independent receptor activation can be counteracted by extracellular ligands that re-orient receptors into inactive dimer topologies. This approach has broad applications for tuning signaling output for many dimeric receptor systems.

INTRODUCTION

Receptor dimerization is a universal mechanism to initiate signal transduction and is utilized by many growth factors such as cytokines and ligands for receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK), among others (Klemm et al., 1998; Stroud and Wells, 2004; Ullrich and Schlessinger, 1990; Wang et al., 2009; Wells and de Vos, 1993). Cytokines are a large class of secreted glycoproteins that contribute to regulating the fate and function of most cell types (Bazan, 1990; Liao et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2009). Cytokines bind to the extracellular domains (ECD) of their cell-surface receptors, forming signaling complexes with receptor homo- or hetero-dimers. Although the dimer is the fundamental signaling unit, cytokine receptor-ligand complexes can form in higher-order assemblies (Boulanger et al., 2003; Hansen et al., 2008). In some cases, cytokine receptors may be pre-associated on the cell surface in an inactive state, with the cytokines re-orienting the receptor dimers into an active state (Brooks et al., 2014; Constantinescu et al., 2001; Gent et al., 2002; Livnah et al., 1999). Cytokines such as erythropoietin (EPO) and growth hormone (GH) homodimerize two identical receptor subunits (Constantinescu et al., 1999; Wells and de Vos, 1993), while other cytokines, such as interleukin-2, heterodimerize a shared receptor (common gamma chain) with a cytokine-specific subunit to initiate signaling (Liao et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2009). Cytokine receptor dimerization principally results in activation of intracellular, non-covalently associated Janus kinases (JAKs), which then activate the STAT pathway to modulate gene expression and ultimately determine cell fate (Ihle et al., 1995; O’Shea and Paul, 2010).

Structures of cytokine-receptor ECD complexes from different systems have revealed a diverse range of molecular architectures and receptor dimer topologies that are compatible with signaling (Boulanger et al., 2003; de Vos et al., 1992; Hansen et al., 2008; LaPorte et al., 2008; Livnah et al., 1996; Ring et al., 2012; Syed et al., 1998; Thomas et al., 2011; Walter et al., 1995; Wang et al., 2005). This topological diversity is also apparent for dimeric RTK ECD complexes with their agonist ligands (Kavran et al., 2014; Lemmon and Schlessinger, 2010). Furthermore, monoclonal antibodies, engineered ligands, and other agents that dimerize receptor extracellular domains can have disparate
impacts on signaling, but the topological relationships of these non-native dimers to those induced by the endogenous ligands are unknown (Boersma et al., 2011; Harwerth et al., 1992; Jost et al., 2013; Kai et al., 2008; Li et al., 2013; Müller-Newen et al., 2000; Nakano et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012a). Prior studies have shown that cytokine receptor signaling efficiency can be influenced by extracellular domain mutations or structural perturbations (Barclay et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2009; Millot et al., 2004; Rowlinson et al., 2008; Seubert et al., 2003; Staerk et al., 2011). However, the apparent permissiveness in dimer architecture compatible with signaling raises the following questions: to what degree does modulation of receptor-ligand dimer geometry fine-tune receptor activation (Ballinger and Wells, 1998), and could such an approach constitute a practical strategy to control dimeric receptor signaling output? Correlating the structure of a receptor-ligand complex in different dimerization topologies to functional properties, including membrane-proximal and membrane-distal signaling outputs would be informative in addressing this question.

On one hand, prior studies showing that cytokine-induced intracellular signaling could be activated through chimeric receptors containing alternative ECDs demonstrated that constraints on dimerization geometries compatible with signaling were loose to some degree (Heller et al., 2012; Ohashi et al., 1994; Pattyn et al., 1999; Socolovsky et al., 1998). On the other hand, a series of studies comparing activation of EpoR by its natural ligand EPO versus synthetic peptides concluded that small changes in dimer orientation could modulate signal strength (Livnah et al., 1996, 1998; Syed et al., 1998). However, these studies left open the question of whether the observed signaling efficiency differences were attributable to alternative dimer topologies or ligand affinity. In one example, it was reported that an EPO agonist peptide (EMP-1) could be converted into a non-activating, or “antagonist” peptide (EMP-33) through a chemical modification (Bromination) of the EMP-1 peptide. Crystal structures of both peptide ligands bound to the extracellular domains of EpoR revealed dimeric complexes (Livnah et al., 1996, 1998); however, it was noted that the non-signaling EMP-33/EpoR ectodomain dimer angle differed by an ~15° rotation versus the agonist-1/EpoR dimeric complex (Livnah et al., 1998; and Figure S1A). The lack of signal initiation by the EMP-33 peptide was attributed to this small change in the EpoR ECD dimer angle.

RESULTS

EPO Receptor Dimerization and Signal Activation Induced by EMP Peptides

Given the diverse range of dimer topologies evident in agonistic cytokine-receptor complexes (Wang et al., 2009), that in many cases exceed 15° angular differences, we revisited the striking observation seen with the EPO peptide ligands. We explored the biological activity of these peptides using EpoR reporter cells we developed that gave us the ability to test EpoR signaling by receptor phosphorylation but, importantly, also using a beta-galactosidase complementation system that is a sensitive reporter of EPO-induced EpoR oligomerization in physiologic conditions at 37°C, which directly informs on early signaling and internalization (Wehrman et al., 2007). First, we synthesized the EMP-1 and EMP-33 peptides and found that EMP-1 binds EpoR with a K_D of 1 μM, whereas EMP-33 binds EpoR with a K_D of more than 50 μM (Figures S1B and S1C). The low affinity of EMP-33 prompted us to ask whether its lack of receptor activation is due to low occupancy of the receptor on the cell. We measured the actions of both peptides at inducing signaling and receptor dimerization on cells at a wide range of concentrations. At 10 μM of peptide, only EMP-1 induced dimerization and phosphorylation of EpoR at levels comparable to those achieved by EPO stimulation (Figures 1A and 1B). At higher concentrations of peptide (100 μM), approaching that used for co-crystallization of both the agonistic and non-signaling dimeric EpoR/peptide complexes, EMP-33 induced a similar degree of receptor dimerization and phosphorylation of EpoR as EMP-1 and EPO itself (Figures 1A and 1B). Thus, when EMP-33 is applied at concentrations that dimerize EpoR on cells, the dimer geometry of the EMP-33/EpoR complex is competent to initiate signaling. The different signaling potencies exhibited by the EPO mimetic peptides appear to be primarily due to their relative EpoR binding affinities.

EpoR Diabodies Induce Different Degrees of Agonism Activity

We turned our attention to developing surrogate cytokine ligands that could induce much larger topological differences in the EpoR dimer and enable a systematic study relating dimer architecture to signaling and function. We reasoned that diabodies, which are covalently linked dimeric antibody V_H/V_L variable domain fragments (Fvs) possessing two binding sites, could dimerize and possibly induce signaling of the EpoR, albeit at significantly larger inter-dimer distances than induced by EPO. Additionally, diabodies might be constrained enough to allow crystallization of their complexes with EpoR so that we can directly visualize the dimeric topologies (Penic et al., 1994). By comparison, whole antibodies have been shown to activate cytokine receptor signaling in many systems, presumably by dimerization (Müller-Newen et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2012a, 2013). However, the segmental flexibility of intact antibodies has precluded a structural analysis of intact dimeric agonist complexes that can be related to the biological activities.

We synthesized genes of four previously reported anti-EpoR antibodies (Lim et al., 2010) and re-formatted their V_H and V_L domains into diabodies (Figure 1C). The four diabodies bound EpoR with approximately similar affinities (Figure S2) and multi-merized EpoR with similar efficiency (as measured by EC_{50}, albeit less efficiently than EPO (Figure 1D). However, they induced EpoR phosphorylation with very different relative efficiencies, ranging from full agonism (DA5) to very weak partial agonism (DA10) (Figure 1E). The four diabodies also exhibited different extents of STAT5 phosphorylation (Figure 1F), STAT5 transcriptional activity (Figure S3A), Ba/F3 cell proliferation (Figure 1G), and CFU (colony forming unit)-E colony formation (Figure 1H). These dramatic differences in diabody-induced signaling and functional activities persist at saturating ligand concentrations, so are not attributable to significantly different relative affinities for EpoR or to a stronger EpoR internalization induced by the weak agonist diabodies (DA10, DA307, and...
because the internalization closely correlated with their signaling efficacies (Figure S3B).

**EpoR Diabodies Induce Differential Signal Activation**

STAT5 is the most prominent STAT protein activated by EPO (Constantinescu et al., 1999). However, additional signaling pathways, including other STATs (STAT1 and STAT3), the MAPK pathway, and the PI3K pathway, are also activated by this cytokine and fine-tune its responses (Constantinescu et al., 1999). “Biased” signal activation is a phenomenon that has been described for G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) ligands, where one GPCR can differentially activate signaling pathways (e.g., beta-arrestin versus G protein), depending on the ligand (Drake et al., 2008). Thus, we asked whether similar differential signal activation could be observed in a dimeric single-pass transmembrane receptor such as the EPO-EpoR system. We studied the activation of 78 different signaling molecules (Table S1) by phosho-flow cytometry in the EPO-responsive cell line UT7-EpoR. EPO and the diabodies induced the activation of 33 signaling proteins, including members of the STAT family (STAT1, STAT3, and STAT5), MAP kinase family (MEK and p38), and PI3K family (Akt, RSK1, and RPS6) (Figure 2A). We also observed the upregulation of known EPO-induced transcription factors such as Myc, cFos, IRF1, and Elk (Figure 2A). In agreement with our previous results, the signaling potencies exhibited by the three diabodies ranged from full agonism for DA5 to partial agonism for DA330 and non-agonism for DA10 (Figure 2A). Interestingly, the diabodies did not activate all 33 signaling molecules to the same extent (Figure 2B). When the signal activation levels induced by the three diabodies after 15 min stimulation were normalized to those induced by EPO, we observed that, although EPO and DA5 induced similar levels of activation in the majority of the signaling pathways analyzed, DA5 activated some of them to a lower extent than EPO (Figure 2B). Among those, STAT1 and STAT3 activation were the most affected, with DA5 inducing 30% of the STAT1 and 40% of the STAT3 activation levels induced by EPO (Figure 2B). Interestingly, STAT3 S727 phosphorylation, which requires MAPK activation (Decker and Kovarik, 2000), was equally induced by EPO and DA5, which is consistent with the two ligands activating the MAPK pathway to the same extent (Figures 2A and 2B). Dose/response studies in UT7-EpoR cells confirmed these observations and showed that DA5 activates STAT1 to a lesser extent than EPO, while still promoting comparable levels of STAT5...
activation (Figure 2C). Thus, biased signaling can be induced through the dimeric EpoR with surrogate ligands.

Next, we studied how different signal activation amplitudes exhibited by the diabodies at the membrane-proximal level would impact their membrane-distal gene expression programs. We carried out RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) studies of EPO-responsive genes in purified human primary megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitor (MEP) cells derived from bone marrow of a normal subject (Figure 2D). Temporally, MEPs are the first progenitors to robustly express EpoR during hematopoiesis in humans (Seita et al., 2012). In agreement with the signaling data, the relative gene-induction potencies exhibited by the diabodies matched their signaling efficacies (i.e., DA5 > DA330 > DA10) (Figure 2D). DA5 induced a very similar gene induction profile to EPO but with some differences, with a small subset of genes (e.g., \textit{Pim2} and \textit{RN7SK}) being differentially regulated by DA5 when compared to EPO.

The different EPO-responsive gene induction potencies elicited by the diabodies were further confirmed by qPCR experiments in the EPO-responsive cell line UT7-EpoR. UT7-EpoR cells were stimulated with saturating doses of EPO or the three diabodies for 2, 4, and 8 hr, and the levels of \textit{CISH} and \textit{Pim1} gene expression were studied (Figure S3C). Here again, DA5 stimulation led to similar levels of \textit{CISH} and \textit{Pim1} induction as EPO; DA330 resulted in only 30%–40% induction of these genes, and DA10 only marginally induced \textit{CISH} and \textit{Pim1} in these cells (Figure S3C). When compared to the RNA-seq experiment performed in MEP cells, DA10 induced a lower level of \textit{CISH} and \textit{Pim1} expression in UT7-EpoR cells. These differences likely result from the use of different cell types in the two assays. Overall, our signaling and gene expression data show that the diabodies exhibit various degrees of differential signaling properties relative to EPO and to one another.

**Alternative EpoR Dimer Orientation and Proximity Result in Different Degrees of Agonism**

To explore the structural basis for the differential signaling activation exhibited by the diabodies, we expressed and purified three diabody/EpoR complexes (DA5, DA10, and DA330) from baculovirus-infected insect cells. All exhibited molecular weights of 97–98 kDa as measured by multi-angle light scattering (MALS) chromatography, in agreement with a 2:1 complex stoichiometry (two EpoR bound to one diabody [Figure S4A]). We crystallized the diabody/EpoR complexes (DA5 [2.6 Å], DA10 [3.15 Å], and DA330 [2.85 Å]) and determined their structures by molecular replacement (Figure 3 and Table S2). The diabody subunit
relationships are clear for the most and least potent diabody complexes (DA5 and DA10, respectively). For the DA330/EpoR complex, the crystal appears to contain domain-swapped diabodies as “back-to-back,” single-chain Fvs that pack in similar, but not identical, subunit relationships. The MALS data show that all of the diabodies are the expected 2:1 complexes in solution.

All three diabodies converge on the protruding “elbow” of EpoR that also serves as the EPO binding site (Figures 3, S4, and S5). When the diabody V_H/V_L modules are aligned, the EpoR’s “rotational” binding topology is most similar between DA5 and DA330, with DA10 being markedly different (Figure 3A). Although DA5 and DA330 both bind horizontally and differ primarily in their vertical “tilt” (~14°), DA10 is orthogonally disposed relative to the other two (Figure 3B). In a striking example of chemical mimicry of EPO binding, the diabody CDR loops use two patches of basic (Arg98/Arg101 of DA5 and DA330, respectively) and hydrophobic (Tyr34/Tyr105/Tyr32 of DA5/DA10/DA330, respectively) residues in a nearly identical manner as residues presented on the EPO helices (Lys45 and Phe48) in the EPO site I binding interface to engage the same regions of the EpoR binding site (Figures 3C and 3D).

The overall architectures of the three diabody/EpoR complexes (Figures 3E–3G) are quite distinct from that of the EPO/EpoR complex, which dimerizes two molecules of EpoR in a classical Y-fork cytokine-receptor architecture, resulting in close proximity between the C termini of the membrane-proximal EpoR ECDs (Figure 3H). In contrast, the diabodies impose much larger separation between the two EpoR molecules with distances ranging from ~127 Å in the case of the DA5/EpoR (full agonist) complex to ~148 Å, as in the case of the DA10/EpoR complex (non-agonist) (Figures 3E–3G). The exact EpoR dimer separation is uncertain for the partial agonist DA330 due to the domain swapping. Interestingly, the relative EpoR dimer distances observed in the full and non-agonist diabody/EpoR}

Please cite this article in press as: Moraga et al., Tuning Cytokine Receptor Signaling by Re-orienting Dimer Geometry with Surrogate Ligands, Cell (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.011
complexes correlate with their signaling potencies in that the full agonist DA5 dimer is closer together, whereas the non-agonist DA10 is further. One caveat is that the diabody molecules themselves are not rigid—they exhibit flexibility in the linker and hinge angles relating the two V\textsubscript{H}/V\textsubscript{L} modules, raising the question of whether we captured one of a range of dimer angles that could be enforced by crystal lattice contacts. We performed conformational sampling studies exploring the relationship between the EpoR separation distance as a function of the diabody hinge angle on the full agonist DA5 and the non-signaling DA10 (Figure S6 and Movies S1 and S2). The results of these studies show that the thermodynamically permitted variation in diabody hinge angles appears to occupy a few energy minima, leading to only a small range of alternative conformations (i.e., distances) around that seen in the crystal structures (Figure S6 and Movies S1 and S2). The sampling of these alternative conformations has minor consequences on the inter-EpoR distances.

It is important to emphasize that, because we observe differences in both the EpoR/diabody docking angles (Figures 3A and 3B) and the distances between EpoR C termini in the dimeric complexes, we cannot say whether distance or geometry/topology, or a combination of both factors, is responsible for the differences in signaling between the complexes. However, that the differences in signaling amplitude correlate with alternative overall extracellular dimer topologies appears quite clear. Such large differences in extracellular architecture would likely influence the relative orientation and proximity of the two JAKs associated with the membrane proximal intracellular domains of the receptors and impact their subsequent phosphorylation profiles (Figure 5A).

**Comparable Spatiotemporal Dynamics of EpoR Assembly by EPO and Diabodies**

An important mechanistic question is whether the diabody/EpoR complexes on the cell surface are indeed homodimers or higher-order species due to clustering of preformed EpoR dimers, which has been reported previously (Constantinescu et al., 2001; Livnah et al., 1999). To explore the ability of the diabodies to dimerize EpoR in the plasma membrane, we probed the assembly and diffusion dynamics of signaling complexes by dual-color single-molecule imaging. For this purpose, EpoR fused to an N-terminal monomeric EGFP (mEGFP) was expressed in HeLa cells and labeled by addition of anti-GFP nanobodies (Rothbauer et al., 2008), which were site-specifically conjugated with DY647 and ATTO Rh011, respectively (Figure 4A). We labeled the receptors extracellularly so as not to introduce fusion proteins to the intracellular regions that may result in artificial dimerization behavior. Efficient dual-color labeling suitable for long-term observation of individual EpoR was achieved with typical densities of ~0.3 molecules/μm\textsuperscript{2} in both channels, which was exploited for co-localization and co-tracking analysis. In the absence of an agonist, independent diffusion of EpoR molecules could be observed (Movie S3) with no significant single-molecule co-localization beyond the statistical background (Figure 4B). Single-molecule co-tracking analysis corroborated the absence of pre-dimerized EpoR at the plasma membrane (Figures 4C and 4D). Upon addition of EPO, dimerization of EpoR was detectable by both co-localization and co-tracking analysis (Movie S3 and Figures 4B–4D). Individual receptor dimers could be tracked (Movie S3), and a clear decrease in their mobility compared to EpoR in absence of ligand was identified (Figure 4E). Stimulation of EpoR endocytosis in presence of EPO was observed, which was accompanied by an increased fraction of immobile EpoR molecules in presence of EPO. The stoichiometry within individual complexes was analyzed by photobleaching at elevated laser power. Single-step photobleaching confirmed the formation of EpoR dimers in the plasma membrane (Movie S4 and Figure 4F). Upon labeling the mEGFP-EpoR only with ATTO-Rho11, two-step bleaching could be observed only in presence of EPO (Movie S5). For all diabodies, very similar levels of receptor dimerization were obtained (Movie S3 and Figure 4D). A slightly increased dimerization level compared to EPO was observed, which may be due to the symmetric binding affinities of diabodies to both EpoR subunits compared to the asymmetric receptor dimer assembly observed for EPO. Importantly, the diffusion properties of receptor dimers assembled by the diabodies were comparable to EPO, as shown for DA5 in Figure 4E, confirming a comparable mode of receptor dimerization by diabodies compared to EPO. Moreover, 1:1 receptor dimers recruited by the diabodies is observed by single-step photobleaching (Figure 4F). Thus, although we do not rule out any role of EpoR pre-association in the observed signaling effects, our microscopy data indicate that the diabodies are not simply clustering quiescent EpoR dimers into higher-order assemblies.

**EpoR Diabodies Inhibit Erythroid Colony Formation in JAK2V617F-Positive Patients**

Several mutations in JAKs are known to cause immune disorders and cancer by rendering activation ligand independent (Gäbler et al., 2013; James et al., 2005). We asked whether the large EpoR distances and different binding geometries induced by the diabodies could modulate the activity of these kinase mutants in an extracellular ligand-dependent manner by separating the two JAKs at distances where they could not undergo trans-activation. The JAK2V617F mutant is the best-described example of an oncogenic JAK mutation, causing the development of hematological disorders such as polycythemia vera (PV) and other myeloproliferative (MPN) neoplasms (Baxter et al., 2005; James et al., 2005; Kralovics et al., 2005; Levine et al., 2005). At physiologic expression levels, JAK2V617F-positive cells require EpoR to proliferate in a ligand-independent manner (Lu et al., 2008). Stimulation of Ba/F3 cells expressing the murine EpoR and the JAK2V617F mutant with EPO or DA5 did not significantly affect the basal phosphorylation of STAT5, Akt, and Erk in these cells (Figures 5B and 5C). However, stimulation of these cells with DA10, DA307, and DA330 decreased the STAT5, Akt, and Erk phosphorylation in a time-dependent manner (Figures 5B and 5C). This decrease in signal activation led by DA10, DA307, and DA330 was not the result of EpoR surface depletion. Only the full agonists, EPO and DA5, led to a significant decrease in the levels of EpoR on the surface (Figure 5D). The decrease in the JAK2V617F-induced basal signaling activation promoted by the diabodies was followed by a reduction in the proliferation rate of Ba/F3 cells expressing the mutated JAK2 (Figure 5E), suggesting that oncogenic JAK
mutant activities can be modulated in an extracellular ligand-dependent manner.

The Ba/F3 cells used here are a transformed cell line engineered to overexpress EpoR and JAK2V617F, which led to transformation and to autonomous growth, so we also performed erythroid colony formation assays in primary cells from human JAK2V617F-positive patients. CD34+ hematopoietic stem cells and progenitors (HSPC) from heterozygous JAK2V617F-positive patients were isolated and stimulated with the indicated diabodies ± EPO, and their ability to form erythroid colonies was assayed. In the absence of diabodies, JAK2V617F-positive CD34+ cells gave rise to erythroid colonies, which were further increased in numbers in the presence of EPO in the media (Figure 6A). Stimulation with a non-specific negative control diabody did not significantly alter the number of erythroid (EpoR-dependent) or myeloid colonies (EpoR independent) (Figures 6A and 6B), ruling out possible toxic side effects induced by diabodies. Stimulation of JAK2V617F-positive CD34+ cells with the agonistic diabody DA5 led to a specific increase in the number of erythroid colonies (Figures 6A and 6C) without significantly altering the number of myeloid colonies (Figure 6B). On the other hand, stimulation with DA330 and DA10 led to a potent and specific decrease in the number of erythroid colonies (Figures 6A–6C). We note that DA330, which is a partial agonist of normal JAK2 signaling, limits but does not prevent signaling in JAK2V617F cells, giving the appearance of a structural “governor” controlling signaling output. All of the colonies analyzed in the study harbored the JAK2V617F mutation as determined by single-colony genotyping (Figure 6D). The diabody with the largest intersubunit distance, DA10, inhibited colony formation the strongest, comparably to the JAK1/2 inhibitor Ruxolitinib, which is approved and standard of care for JAK2V617F-positive MPN (Verstovsek et al., 2010) (Figure 6A). DA10 also decreased the number of erythroid colonies from homozygous JAK2V617F-positive patients (Dupont et al., 2007) (Figures 6E and 6F), suggesting that the binding topology imposed by this diabody dominates over the influence of the mutated JAK2 expressed in the cell. Overall, these results show that extracellular ligands...
that enforce large receptor dimer separation and different binding geometries can counteract intracellular oncogenic ligand-independent receptor activation, presumably by exceeding the accessible distance that the JAK2 kinase domain can extend to transphosphorylate the opposing JAK2 and receptor (Figure 5A).

**DISCUSSION**

Single-pass type I and type II transmembrane receptors that contain ligand-binding ECDs constitute a major percentage of all signaling receptors in the mammalian genome and include cytokine (JAK/STAT) receptors (Spangler et al., 2014), tyrosine kinase (RTK) receptors (e.g., EGFR, Insulin-R, etc.) (Lemmon and Schlessinger, 2010), and many others. In most cases, these receptors signal in response to ligand engagement as homo- or heterodimeric units (Klemm et al., 1998; Stroud and Wells, 2004).

For this class of receptors, ligand binding ECDs are structurally autonomous and are separated from the intracellular signaling modules (e.g., Kinase domains) through juxtamembrane linkers and a TM helix. Thus, the intracellular domains (ICDs) presumably sense ligand binding through spatial perturbations of receptor orientation and proximity that are relayed as conformational changes through the membrane (Ottemann et al., 1999). However, it has been unclear to what extent extracellular ligands can influence signaling through dimeric receptors by enforcing ECD orientational differences. In contrast, for GPCRs, although the role of dimerization remains to be determined, it is well established that ligand binding within the TM helices induces conformational changes within the plane of the membrane. Even minor structural differences in the relative orientations of GPCR TM helices induced by ligands are conveyed as differential signaling (e.g., biased signaling, inverse and partial agonism) (Venkatakrishnan et al., 2013). This property of GPCRs has been exploited by the pharmaceutical industry for small-molecule drug development. Here, we asked whether ligand-induced orientational (i.e., “shape”) changes of receptor dimer geometry could serve a conceptually and functionally analogous role to the diverse types of conformational changes induced by GPCR ligands that result in differential signaling.

Although there exists a vast literature showing that dimeric receptor signaling strength is determined by extracellular parameters such as ligand affinity and complex half-life on the cell surface (Harwerth et al., 1992; Riese, 2011), the role of
orientation-specific effects has remained speculative (Ballinger et al., 2009; Millot et al., 2004; Rowlinson et al., 2008; Seubert structure in mediating signaling output (Barclay et al., 2010; Liu tors have pointed to the importance of the extracellular domain Studies using mutated, chimeric, or genetically modified recep- and Wells, 1998; Syed et al., 1998; Wells and de Vos, 1993 ).

As agonists of c-MPL (Nakano et al., 2009 ). Although antibodies try and have been previously shown to have the capacity to act would presumably induce large-scale alterations in dimer geom- to other dimeric receptor systems, such as RTKs, where the role of ligand is to bind to the ECDs, dimerize, and/or re-orient signaling outputs through naturally, non-mutated receptors on human cells are required. We used diabodies because they more constrained structures than antibodies (Perisic et al., 1994), which allowed us to capture the receptor-diabody signaling complexes crystallographically.

Our results indicate that cytokine receptor dimer architectural and spacing constraints compatible with signaling are liberal but there exist limits at which signaling is impacted. This is consis-tent with the diverse range of dimeric ligand-receptor geometries seen in agonistic cytokine-receptor complex structures (Spangler et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2009). Consequently, we find that large-scale re-orientations of receptor dimer topology are required to qualitatively and quantitatively modulate signaling output. We propose that this strategy is potentially applicable to other dimeric receptor systems, such as RTKs, where...
The broader implications of our results are that signaling patterns delivered by endogenous ligands only constitute one of many possible signaling patterns that can be elicited through a dimeric receptor system. By using surrogate or engineered ligands to re-orient receptor dimer topology, a given dimeric receptor can be induced to deliver a wide range of signals of different amplitudes and pathway specificities. Cytokine receptor dimers have the potential to be modulated as rheostats to control signaling output, similar to partial and biased GPCR agonists. Given that many endogenous cytokines and growth factors have adverse effects as therapeutic agonists, our results portend the possibility of dimer re-orientation as a strategy to “tune” signaling output to minimize toxicity, maximize efficacy, or elicit specific functional outcomes.

The precise molecular mechanisms through which the diabodies described here alter intracellular signaling by remodeling dimer geometry remain unclear, but the signal tuning effects are clearly the result of extracellular receptor dimer proximity (distance) and geometry (orientation) effects. Our single-molecule fluorescence tracking shows that the assembled signaling complexes are not due to higher-order assemblies that could have resulted from diabody-induced clustering of preformed EpoR receptor dimers (Constantinescu et al., 2001). Even if receptor clustering were occurring to some degree, which we do not rule out, the diabodies still exert a powerful modulatory effect on signaling through repositioning receptor topology whether or not these are monovalent or polyclonal cell-surface complexes. This strategy does not rely on a particular valency of the signaling complexes. For example, our results can be reconciled with a recent mechanistic study of cytokine receptor activation (Brooks et al., 2014). Growth hormone was shown to activate its receptor (GH-R) by rotating the ECD subunits of a pre-associated but inactive GH-R dimer, resulting in separation of the Box 1 receptor ICD motifs and removal of the JAK2 pseudokinase inhibitory domain, which collectively result in productive JAK2 kinase domain positioning for receptor activation. Diabodies could presumably disrupt a quiescent cytokine receptor dimer to form an activated dimer topology through a related “separation” mechanism that relieves JAK2 inhibition. For the agonist DA5, the outcome of this separation would be placement of the JAK kinase domains into productive apposition but one that is topologically distinct from that induced by the natural cytokine. In the case of DA10, the kinase domains of JAK2 are separated such that they are not in proper position to trans-phosphorylate. We contend that such a JAK activation mechanism could still be operative in the context of non-native dimer architectures.

The surprisingly large EpoR dimer separation distances imposed by the agonistic diabodies may be rationalized by the fact that the intracellular, receptor-associated JAKs are long molecules that exists as a dynamic ensemble of extended and compact conformations, which could span >100Å distances between receptors in a dimer (Lupardus et al., 2011). Given that the kinase domain of JAK resides at its C terminus, which is most distal to the receptor bound by the JAK FERM domain, it is likely sensitive to positioning relative to its substrates that it trans-phosphorylates. Changes in the relative positioning of the kinase domain to its substrates could influence the efficiency and patterns of phosphorylation through steric effects imposed by extracellular dimer geometry. By manipulating the dimer geometry, as seen with the non-signaling diabody DA10, such an approach can achieve complete shutoff of constitutively active signaling pathways (JAK2V617F) from the outside of the cell. This is conceptually distinct from Ankyrin repeat antagonists to ErbB2 that were shown to prevent activation of wild-type ErbB2 by distorting the receptors such that they cannot form signaling-competent dimers (Jost et al., 2013). Here, the role of DA10 is to dimerize EpoR yet terminate ligand-independent signaling, possibly through enforcing a large dimer separation distance. This strategy is applicable to diseases mediated by mutated, constitutively active receptors (Givona et al., 2011; Pikman et al., 2006; Rebouissou et al., 2009; Zenatti et al., 2011) and could offer the advantage of specificity and reduced toxicity versus broadly neutralizing kinase inhibitors.

Diabodies are a convenient surrogate ligand because they can be created from existing monoclonal antibody sequences, which exist to most human cell-surface receptors. However, dimer re-orientation could be achieved by many different types of engineered scaffolds. A range of altered dimerization geometries could be screened with different dimerizing scaffolds for those that induced a particular signaling profile or functional property. In principle, targeting receptor ECD dimer orientation as a new structure-activity parameter for drug discovery for many type I or type II cell-surface receptors is feasible.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Further details for production, characterization, and crystallization of diabodies; signaling and functional characterization; in vivo imaging of surface DA-EpoR complex formation; and isolation and treatment of JAK2V617F-positive human samples can be found online in the Extended Experimental Procedures.

**Structure Determination and Refinement**

All crystallographic data were collected at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (Stanford) beamlines 12-2. Data were integrated, indexed and scaled using XDS or HKL2000 program suits (Kabsch, 2010; Otwinowski et al., 1997). The three DA-EpoR crystal structures were solved by molecular replacement with the program PHASER (McCoy, 2007) and refined with PHENIX and COOT.

**Primitio Bio Pathway Phenotyping**

UT-7-EpoR cells were starved overnight; stimulated with saturated concentrations of EPO and the indicated diabodies for 15, 60, and 120 min; and fixed with 1% PFA for 10 min at room temperature. The fixed cells were prepared for antibody staining according to standard protocols (Krutzik and Nolan, 2003). Briefly, the fixed cells were permeabilized in 90% methanol for 15 min. The cells were stained with a panel of antibodies specific to the markers indicated (Primitio Bio Pathway Phenotyping service and Table S1) and analyzed on an LSRII flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). The Log2 Ratio of the median fluorescence intensities (MFI) of the stimulated samples divided by the unstimulated control samples were calculated as a measure of response.

**Single-Molecule Tracking, Co-localization, and Co-tracking Analyses**

Single-molecule localization and single-molecule tracking were carried out using the multiple-target tracing (MTT) algorithm (Sergé et al., 2008) as described previously (You et al., 2010). Step-length histograms were obtained from single-molecule trajectories and fitted by a two fraction mixture model of Brownian diffusion. Average diffusion constants were determined from the slope (2–10 steps) of the mean square displacement versus time lapse.
diagrams. Immobile molecules were identified by the density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN) (Sander et al., 1998) algorithm as described recently (Roder et al., 2014). For comparing diffusion properties and for co-tracking analysis, immobile particles were excluded from the data set. Individual molecules detected in the both spectral channels were regarded as co-localized if a particle was detected in both channels of a single frame within a distance threshold of 100 nm radius.

HSC and Progenitor-Derived Colony Genotyping Assay

CD34+ cells were sorted from human JAK2V617F homo- and heterozygous myeloproliferative samples. CD34+ cells were plated in methylcellulose with and without erythropoietin (MethoCult H4434 and H4535; STEMCELL Technologies). Colony formation was assessed after 14 days in culture by microscopy and scored on the basis of morphology. JAK2V617F and JAK2 WT TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems) was designed as published recently (Levine et al., 2006), and details are available upon request. The genotype of each colony was determined by Custom TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s specification.

ACCESS NUMBERS

The Protein Data Bank (PDB) accession numbers for the three DA/EpoR complex structures reported in this paper are 4YSV (DA5-EpoR), 4YSX (DA10-EpoR), and 4YSY (DA330-EpoR). RNA-seq data can be accessed via National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) BioProject under the accession number PRJNA275804.
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Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures, six figures, two tables, and five movies and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.011.
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