Photopatterned Surfaces for Site-Specific and Functional Immobilization of Proteins
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Photopatterned surfaces containing nitroveratryl (Nvoc)-caged amine groups and protein repellent tetrathylene glycol units were synthesized and used for modification of silica surfaces. Functional surface layers containing different densities of caged amine groups were prepared and activated by UV-irradiation of the surface. The performance of these layers for functional and site-selective immobilization of proteins was tested. For this purpose, biotin and tris-nitrolotriacetic acid (tris-NTA) were first coupled to the activated surface, and the interaction of streptavidin and His-tagged proteins with the functionalized surfaces was monitored by real-time label-free detection. After optimizing the coupling protocols, highly selective functionalization of the deprotected amine groups was possible. Furthermore, the degree of functionalization (and therefore the amount of immobilized protein) was controlled by diluting the surface concentration of the amine-functionalized silane with a nonreactive (OMe-terminated) tetrathylene glycol silane. Immobilized proteins were highly functional on these surfaces, as demonstrated by protein—protein interaction assays with the type I interferon receptor. Protein micropatterns were successfully generated after masked irradiation and functionalization of the caged surface following the optimized coupling protocols.

Introduction

Miniaturized and high-throughput chemical and biological analysis systems in microarray format have moved to the forefront of the bioanalytical science area. They require only small amounts of analytes and reagents for accurate detection and allow analysis of a variety of samples in parallel. The fabrication of these analytical platforms requires the development of surface patterning strategies able to create a high density of individual and isolated reactive sites on a substrate, onto which the biomolecular species will be immobilized for detection. Among them, photoreactive surface layers which can be site-selectively activated upon masked irradiation constitute an interesting patterning alternative with many possible variants. Light can be used to (i) destroy or remove molecular layers at selected positions to render the bare, inactive substrate, (ii) to graft molecular species to irradiated regions via photogenerated radical cross-reactions occurring between a photosensitive surface layer and the biological molecule (photoaffinity), or (iii) to direct synthesis of small molecules on the surface (peptides, oligocarbamates, oligonucleotides, and peptides) through iterative unmasking of photoreactive groups and monomer coupling cycles. Alternatively, surface layers containing the reactive functionalities protected with a photocleavable group can be used for site-specific coupling of complementary functionalities after light-deprotection. The latter is a particularly flexible approach, since a good number of photoremovable groups are known that can be combined with many different reactive species.

Compared to the immobilization of oligonucleotides or peptides, functional immobilization of proteins is much more demanding: surface layers are required for minimizing non-specific interactions of the protein with the surface, which lead to protein denaturation and loss of function of the immobilized protein. Furthermore, functional groups for site-specific tethering of the protein to the surface have to be incorporated. In the case of proteins, surfaces containing oligoethylene glycol (OEG) units within the surface layer are well-known to effectively reduce...
nonspecific interactions.\(^{20,21}\) Accordingly, different strategies have been followed to incorporate OEG units to a functional surface: direct coupling of surface active functionality through OEG linkers, coadsorption from a solution of OEG and functional molecules (in either parallel\(^{18–20}\) or sequential\(^{21}\) surface reactions), or the use of branched surface coupling agents containing protein repellent and protein attractive arms.\(^{22–28}\) From these three possibilities, competitive chemisorption by treating the surface with a mixture of reagents allows controlled dilution of surface functionality to adjust the surface properties precisely.

Here, we have synthesized novel photosensitive silanes designed for patterned and functional protein immobilization, namely, a tetraethylene glycol (TEG) triethoxysilane with a terminal amino group protected by the nitroeteraryl (Nvoc) group, and a methoxy-terminated TEG triethoxysilane. The properties of monocomponent and mixed surface layers obtained from these silanes were characterized in detail. The performance of these surfaces for a site-specific and functional immobilization of proteins was explored by real-time label-free detection. We demonstrate the capability of these surfaces to generate laterally resolved functional protein patterns.

**Experimental Section**

Materials. All reagents were, unless otherwise noted, used as purchased. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and toluene were freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone. All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed with silica gel F254 plates. Visualization was accomplished by UV light and KI/MnO\(_4\) solution. Silicon wafers (100 orientation) were provided by Cristec (Berlin, Germany). Quartz substrates (Suprasil) were purchased from Heraeus Quarzglas (Hanau, Germany) and Quarzschmelze Ilmenau (Langewiesen, Germany). Transducer slides for reflectance interference spectroscopy (10 nm Ta\(_2\)O\(_5\) and 325 nm silica on a glass substrate) were obtained from Analy Jena GmbH, Germany. OMe-protected tri-nitrolicric acid (tris-NTA)-functionalized with a carboxyl group (9) and 8\(^{11}\)tris-NTA were synthesized as published previously.\(^{22–24}\) The proteins MBP-H10, ifnar2-H10, and IFN\(_{Y}\) were expressed in Escherichia coli and purified as published previously.\(^{24,25}\)

\(^{1}\)H NMR (250 MHz) and \(^{13}\)C NMR (65 MHz) spectra were recorded in CDCl\(_3\) using chloroform as an internal reference with a Bruker Ultra Shield 250 MHz spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin GmbH, Rheinstetten, Germany). Chemical shifts (\(\delta\)) are given in ppm; multiplicities are indicated by s (singlet), d (doublet), dd (double-double), dt (double-double-triplet), q (quaruplet), or m (multiplet).

**Synthesis.** Tetraethylene Glycol Monoallyl Ether (1).\(^{27}\) Tetraethylene glycol (10g, 51 mmol), an equimolar amount of allyl chloride (3.9 g, 51 mmol), and tetrabutyl ammonium hydrogen sulfate (8.3 g, 31.5 mmol) were added slowly under vigorous stirring. The reaction was allowed to proceed for another 20 h at room temperature. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was washed three times with dichloromethane. The organic fractions were collected, dried over sodium sulfate, and filtered. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica, using ethyl acetate/ethanol (9/1) as eluent to give compound 1 (4.1 g, 31\%) as a colorless oil. Disubstituted product was also obtained in 14\% yield. \(^{1}\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 3.48–3.61\) (m, 17H), 3.91 (br. d, \(J_{HH}=5.7\) Hz), 5.06 (dd, \(J_{HH}+J_{Hg}=10.4\) Hz, \(J_{Hg}=1.6\) Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dd, \(J_{HH}+J_{Hg}=18.0\) Hz, \(J_{Hg}=1.6\) Hz, 1H), 5.80 (dd, \(J_{HH}+J_{Hg}=17.1\) Hz, \(J_{Hg}=10.4\) Hz, \(J_{HH}=5.6\) Hz, 1H) ppm. \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta c 234\left(M^+\right)\) and 1, 100.

**Tetraethylene Glycol Monoallyl Ether Bromide (2).** To a solution of 1 (5 g, 21 mmol) in dry THF (85 mL), CB\(_3\) (10.5 g, 31.5 mmol) and PPh\(_3\) (8.3 g, 31 mmol) were added slowly at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and filtered. THF was then removed under vacuum, and the residue was purified by silica column chromatography eluting ethyl acetate/hexane 1:1 to yield compound 2 (5.3 g, 85\%) as a pale yellow oil. \(^{1}\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 3.46\left(J_{HH}=6.4\right)\) Hz, 2H), 3.57–3.70 (m, 12H), 3.80 (t, \(J_{HH}=6.3\) Hz, 2H), 4.01 (d, \(J_{HH}=5.7\) Hz, 2H), 5.17 (dd, \(J_{HH}+J_{Hg}=10.4\) Hz, \(J_{Hg}=1.7\) Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dd, \(J_{HH}+J_{Hg}=17.2\) Hz, \(J_{Hg}=1.7\) Hz, 1H), 5.91 (d, \(J_{HH}+J_{Hg}=17.2\) Hz, \(J_{Hg}=10.3\) Hz, \(J_{HH}=5.6\) Hz, 1H) ppm. \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta c 37.0, 70.0, 70.1, 72.2, 117.1, 134.8\) ppm. MS (CI) \(m/z\ 299\left(M^+\right)+2, 151\left(M^+-C_2H_5\right), 25\).
N-Noc Tetraethylene Glycol Monomethyl Ether Carbamate (5). Compound 4 (1 g, 4.3 mmol), sodium hydroxycarbonate (0.84 g, 10.8 mmol), and water were placed into a dry round-bottom flask and cooled in an ice bath. Nvoc-Cl (1.2 g, 4.3 mmol) was dissolved in dioxane (40 mL) and added dropwise under vigorous stirring. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight and then was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The organic layers were washed three times with water, dried over sodium sulfate, and filtered. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography was stirred for 10 min in a 2% solution of hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) in the corresponding eluent. A change in the purification procedure (the slurry provides experimental evidence of the changes that occur on the surface chemistry of the particles during passivation (the particles sediment quicker by stirring once they have been passivated with HMDS)). After filling the column with this mixture, immediate washing with the eluent is necessary to get rid of the non-passivated oligomers. Only a slight decrease in the polarity of the silica was noticed and this could be easily counteracted by a slight lowering of the polarity of the eluent mixture (as an example, when the eluted eluent was ethylacrylate/ethanol 97/3 according to the thin layer chromatography experiments, the eluent ratio for the real separation was 98/2). After washing, the chromatographic separation process was performed as usual.

Silanization. Quartz slides (25 mm × 10 mm × 1 mm) and silicon wafers (25 mm × 10 mm × 1 mm) were cleaned by soaking in freshly prepared Piranha solution (H2SO4/H2O2 5/1) for one night, rinsing with deionized water, and drying in vacuum at 90 °C for 1 h. Glassware was passivated prior to surface reaction by exposure in HMDS atmosphere at room temperature and in vacuum overnight. This step avoids undesired reaction consumption during surface silanization due to the condensation of the triethoxysilane groups with the free Si—OH groups at the surface of the glass reactants. The surface modification process was performed following a published protocol. Experiments with increasing catalyst concentration and deposition times were performed to obtain smooth and homogeneous layers with a maximum density of functional groups (as revealed by UV spectroscopy). In an optimized procedure, a 1% solution of the corresponding silane in THF with traces of NaOH (40 μL of 1 N NaOH in 17 mL of THF) was prehydrolyzed for 4 h and filtered (0.2 μm PTFE filter) before the clean substrates were immersed for 24 h. Afterward, the substrates were washed with THF and Milli-Q water, baked at 90 °C in vacuum for 1 h, sonicated in THF (three times for 2 min each), washed with Milli-Q water, and then dried with a N2 stream. Mixed layers were obtained by immersing the substrates in a 1% solution of selected silane mixtures (1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:9) following the same protocol. Experiments with increasing catalyst concentration and deposition times were performed to obtain smooth and homogeneous layers with a maximum density of functional groups (as revealed by UV spectroscopy). In an optimized procedure, a 1% solution of the corresponding silane in THF with traces of NaOH (40 μL of 1 N NaOH in 17 mL of THF) was prehydrolyzed for 4 h and filtered (0.2 μm PTFE filter) before the clean substrates were immersed for 24 h. Afterward, the substrates were washed with THF and Milli-Q water, baked at 90 °C in vacuum for 1 h, sonicated in THF (three times for 2 min each), washed with Milli-Q water, and then dried with a N2 stream. Mixed layers were obtained by immersing the substrates in a 1% solution of selected silane mixtures (1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:9) following the same protocol as that for monocomponent layers.

Photo-deprotection. Activation of the surface functionality by photocleavage of the Nvoc group was achieved by irradiation of the substrates at 365 nm, carried out using a Polychrome V monochromator coupled to a Xe-lamp (1.2 mW cm−2 at 365 nm) (TILL Photonics GmbH, Gräfelfing, Germany). The substrates were washed with THF after irradiation to remove the photolysis side products from the surface. The deprotection was monitored by the decay in the UV−vis spectrum (as a consequence of the cleavage of the chromophore). The minimum irradiation time for full deprotection was obtained from different experiments at various irradiation times. For laterally structured deprotection, quartz slides with chrome patterned fields containing micrometric stripes (from ML&C, Jena, Germany) were placed on top of the substrate during irradiation.

Surface Characterization. UV spectra of quartz substrates silanized with 6 and 8 were recorded on a Varian Cary 4000 UV−vis spectrometer (Varian Inc. Palo Alto, CA). Static water contact angles of modified silicon wafers were measured on a OCA 30 (Dataphysics, Germany). The substrates were washed with THF after irradiation to remove the photolysis side products from the surface. The surface modification was performed with photoirradiation for 10 min in a 2% solution of hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) in the corresponding eluent. A change in the surface chemistry of the particles during passivation (the particles sediment quicker by stirring once they have been passivated with HMDS). After filling the column with this mixture, immediate washing with the eluent is necessary to get rid of the non-passivated oligomers. Only a slight decrease in the polarity of the silica was noticed and this could be easily counteracted by a slight lowering of the polarity of the eluent mixture (as an example, when the eluted eluent was ethylacrylate/ethanol 97/3 according to the thin layer chromatography experiments, the eluent ratio for the real separation was 98/2). After washing, the chromatographic separation process was performed as usual.

Silanization. Quartz slides (25 mm × 10 mm × 1 mm) and silicon wafers (25 mm × 10 mm × 1 mm) were cleaned by soaking in freshly prepared Piranha solution (H2SO4/H2O2 5/1) for one night, rinsing with deionized water, and drying in vacuum at 90 °C for 1 h. Glassware was passivated prior to surface reaction by exposure in HMDS atmosphere at room temperature and in vacuum overnight. This step avoids undesired reaction consumption during surface silanization due to the condensation of the triethoxysilane groups with the free Si—OH groups at the surface of the glass reactants. The surface modification process was performed following a published protocol. Experiments with increasing catalyst concentration and deposition times were performed to obtain smooth and homogeneous layers with a maximum density of functional groups (as revealed by UV spectroscopy). In an optimized procedure, a 1% solution of the corresponding silane in THF with traces of NaOH (40 μL of 1 N NaOH in 17 mL of THF) was prehydrolyzed for 4 h and filtered (0.2 μm PTFE filter) before the clean substrates were immersed for 24 h. Afterward, the substrates were washed with THF and Milli-Q water, baked at 90 °C in vacuum for 1 h, sonicated in THF (three times for 2 min each), washed with Milli-Q water, and then dried with a N2 stream. Mixed layers were obtained by immersing the substrates in a 1% solution of selected silane mixtures (1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:9) following the same protocol as that for monocomponent layers.
Surface Functionalization for Protein Studies. Prior to activation of the surface functionality by photocleavage of the Nvoc group, the substrates modified with 6, 8, or mixtures of both were incubated in acetic anhydride at room temperature for 15 min and then thoroughly rinsed with THF and water and dried with nitrogen. For functionalization with tris-NTA, 5 µL of a solution of 9 in chloroform (100 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) was homogeneously applied onto the surface and the solvent was evaporated in a nitrogen stream. The coupling reaction was initiated by addition of 5 µL of DIC, which was subsequently incubated for 10–30 min at room temperature by assembling the surfaces of two slides face to face. The excess reaction mixture was washed off with chloroform, acetone, and then water. The substrates were then incubated in trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) overnight to cleave the tert-butyl esters and liberate the NTA chelator heads.

For biotin-functionalized surfaces, 5 µL of N-(+)-biotinyl-6-aminoacaproic acid-N-hydroxysuccinimidyl ester in DMF (50 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) was homogeneously applied onto the surface, after blocking with acetic anhydride. The transducers were incubated for 15 min at room temperature by assembling the surfaces of two slides face to face. The excess reaction mixture was washed off with DMF and water. The transducers were then dried with nitrogen and stored at −20 °C.

Protein Binding Experiments. Protein immobilization and protein interactions were label-free monitored in real time using reflectance interference spectroscopy (RIFS). This technique detects binding on the surface of a thin silica layer as a shift of the interference spectrum,\(^30\) which was determined at the minimum 1.5th order. A shift of this minimum by 1 nm corresponds to a change in the optical thickness of the interference layer by 0.75 nm and to a surface mass change of \(\approx 1\ \text{ng/mm}^2\).\(^31\) A home-built setup based on a commercially available diode array spectrometer as described before was used.\(^32\) Measurements were carried out in a flow chamber under continuous flow with a data acquisition rate of 1 Hz. All binding assays were carried out with HBS (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.01% Triton X100) as the running buffer. The tris-NTA-functionalized surfaces were treated with 100 mM HCl for 150 s, followed by equilibration in HBS. The chelator heads were loaded with nickel(II) ions by injecting 250 µL of nickel(II) chloride (10 mM) for 30
Table 1. Static Water Contact Angles (CA), Ellipsometric Layer Thickness (d), and Surface Loading (Γ) as Estimated from $d$ of the Mono- and Bicomponent Surface Layers Obtained before and after Irradiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>molar ratio of silane 6:8 in solution</th>
<th>before irradiation</th>
<th>after irradiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d$ (nm)$^{a}$</td>
<td>$\rho$ (g cm$^{-3})^{b}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:0</td>
<td>57° ± 2</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>52° ± 2</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>51° ± 2</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:9</td>
<td>55° ± 2</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0:1</td>
<td>51° ± 2</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$s$ followed by injection of 500 µL of histidine (His)-tagged protein (500 nM) for 400 s. The elution of the immobilized protein with 200 mM imidazole was then monitored for 140 s. The surface was regenerated via injection of 250 µL of HCl (100 mM) for 30 s, followed by the nickel loading step. Biotin-functionalized surfaces were first loaded with streptavidin by injecting 250 µL of streptavidin (100 nM) for 150 s. For immobilizing His-tagged proteins on top of the streptavidin layer, 350 nM #4Tris-NTA was injected for 30 s, followed by injection of 500 µL of MBP-H10 (500 nM) for 400 s. The activity of the immobilized ifnar2-H10 was probed by monitoring the binding of its ligand IFN$\gamma$ upon injection of 300 µL of IFN$\gamma$ for 30 s. The proteins were eluted by injecting 400 mM imidazole for 140 s. Negative control experiments were performed in the absence of nickel(II) ions. To demonstrate the specificity of the binding event, the NTA-bound nickel(II) ions were removed by an injection of 250 µL of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (250 mM) before injection of the protein.

**Functional Properties of Patterned Surfaces.** Patterned substrates modified with 6 were masked irradiated and then incubated with a 140 µg mL$^{-1}$ solution of Alexa Fluor 546 succinimidyl ester in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at room temperature overnight. The substrate was then washed with water and THF and dried with a N$_2$ stream. The fluorescent pattern was visualized by fluorescence imaging with an Axio Imager Z1 instrument (Software Axio Vision Rel. 4.6) from Carl Zeiss GmbH (Göttingen, Germany). Biotinylated surfaces were incubated with 1.5 µM streptavidin in buffer (PBS, 0.01% Triton X100) for 2 h. The substrate was washed with buffer (PBS, 0.01% Triton X100), dried in a stream of nitrogen, and incubated with 100 µL of a solution of 230 µM biotin-4-fluorescein in buffer (PBS, 0.01% Triton X100) for 75 min. Finally, the substrate was washed with PBS buffer and water, dried in a stream of nitrogen, and preserved from the light until analysis by fluorescence microscopy.

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis of the TEG Silanes.** Two triethoxysilanes, one carrying a photosensitive triethoxysilyl functionality. Hydrosilylation was performed with triethoxysilane and H$_2$PtCl$_6$ as catalyst and afforded the expected photosensitive triethoxysilane 6 with high yield. A 15–30% fraction of saturated side product is present in the reaction mixture after evaporation of the excess of triethoxysilane. Similar reaction steps were followed for obtaining the molecule 8.

Since high purity reactants are required for reproducible surface modification experiments, especially if contaminants carry alkoxysilane functions, a careful purification process must be performed. Purification by chromatography with silica gel led to low yields of purified substance (<10%) as a consequence of the condensation reaction of the alkoxysilane groups of the molecule with the Si–OH groups at the surface of the silica gel.
This causes irreversible retention of the product in the chromatographic column. Better results were achieved by using silica gel that was previously passivated with hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS). This reagent converts the reactive free hydroxyl groups at the surface of the silica gel into inert Si(Me)₃. The passivation slightly modifies the polarity of the chromatographic support and, consequently, the final separation process. In general, shorter elution times were detected. Parallel experiments using thin-layer chromatography plates after similar treatment with HMDS helped in assessing the adequate solvent mixture for the separation.

Properties of the Surface Layers. Monocomponent layers of 6 and 8 were obtained by silanization in solution. Anchoring of the molecule to the silica surface occurs through the triethoxysilyl functionality. Trivalent alkoxysilanes favor the formation of denser surface layers than di- or monovalent alkoxysilanes. However, multivalency complicates the surface reaction scenario, since the highly reactive silanol species may condensate not only with the free OH groups of the silica surface but also with each other, forming oligomers. These may lead to larger 3D aggregates and consequently an increase in substantial surface roughness. The progression of these reactions and consequently the characteristics of the final surface layer critically depend on experimental variables such as type of solvent, temperature, and reaction time as well as on the catalyst and the concentration of the organosilane. 40,41 Overall, the amount of water used as catalyst conditions as in the case of monocomponent layers to allow optimized conditions, and they show reduced silane surface loading and protein binding capacity (see Figure 6 for values corresponding to optimized substrates).

Figure 2. Kinetics of the photolytic reaction of silane 6 on the surface followed by UV spectroscopy.

Figure 3. Binding kinetics of streptavidin onto different biotin-functionalized surfaces as followed by RIFS. (a) Biotin coupled to surfaces silanized with either 6 or 8 without additional treatment with acetic anhydride. (b) Biotin coupled to the same surfaces blocked by reaction with acetic anhydride after silanization. The bar marks the injection period of streptavidin. The substrates modified with silane 6 employed for these measurements were not obtained in optimized conditions, and they show reduced silane surface loading and protein binding capacity (see Figure 6 for values corresponding to optimized substrates).

were estimated (Table 1). Surprisingly, a higher surface density was obtained with silane 6, which is more bulky compared to silane 8 due to the Nvoc protection group. This has been attributed to π-interactions between the terminal Nvoc moieties which promote a more dense packing of this silane. 40,41 Overall, the obtained surface loading values (2.1 × 10¹² and 3.2 × 10¹² molecules mm⁻²) are about 1.5–2 times smaller than the typical surface density of a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of thiols on gold with maximum coverage (4.5 × 10¹² molecules mm⁻²). 42

Mixed layers were prepared by competitive chemisorption of silanes 6 and 8 from solution using the same experimental conditions as in the case of monocomponent layers to allow meaningful comparison. The amount of water used as catalyst for the silanization turns out to be a crucial parameter, since hydrolyzed 8 is highly insoluble in water or mixtures of water with organic solvents. Modified substrates from feeding solutions with different compositions were prepared (1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:9). The amount of photocative silane 6 on the surface was determined


by measuring the UV absorbance on modified quartz substrates. This analysis revealed a linear correlation between the relative amount of chromophore in solution and on the surface as demonstrated in Figure 1a and b. The contact angle of the modified surface also changes with the ratio of the components: higher contact angles (up to 57°) were obtained with increasing concentration of silane 6 as a consequence of the more hydrophobic character of the Nvoc terminal functionality. A surface layer of silane 8 presents a contact angle of 51°. This value is higher than that obtained from linear grafted PEG layers (CA 30°) and also that from star PEG layers (CA 45°–37°). The layer thickness also increases with increasing concentration of 6, as expected from its higher molecular length (Figure 1c). This correlation is linear in the mixtures of silanes 6 and 8 up to a monocomponent layer of 6, but a significant drop in the curve was obtained for the monocomponent layer of silane 8. These results corroborate that silane 6 reacts more efficiently with the surface than silane 8, as also reflected by the higher surface loading values when the molar fraction of silane 6 increases (Table 1).

The agreement between solution and surface compositions is not a trivial question in mixed silane layers. Considering that the silanization reaction is complex and highly sensitive to small variations in the reaction conditions (temperature, solvent, water content, and nature of the substrate), the predictability of the final composition of mixed layers is difficult. Another factor to take into account is that each silane, depending on its structure, presents different solubility and hydrolysis/condensation properties which may affect the surface deposition process. In spite of these issues, the optimized experimental conditions seem to yield mixed surface layers which reflect the solution composition.

Regarding the obtained results, and given the fact that it is not possible to quantify silane 8 on the surface, one could think that only silane 6 reacts with the surface. Thus, the decreasing surface concentrations would correspond to the lower solution concentrations of 6 limiting the surface modification reaction. This was ruled out by surface modification experiments with monocomponent solutions of 6 in different concentrations, which always yielded a similar surface density of chromophores, as detected by UV spectroscopy (data not shown).

Photo-deprotection of the Amino Groups. Substrates modified with the photosensitive silane 6 were irradiated at a wavelength of 365 nm (λmax) using a Xe-lamp coupled to a monochromator. Irradiation cleaves the Nvoc group via an intramolecular redox reaction and liberates the reactive amine group at the surface. The photolytic reaction can be followed by the decay of the UV absorbance of the substrate after washing because the chromophore is lost. Figure 2 shows the UV spectra...
of the substrate after different irradiation times. The absorbance dropped 40–50% after 9 min of irradiation, but it did not decrease further with longer irradiation times. This is presumably due to imine formation between the benzaldehyde photofragment and the primary amino group at the surface\textsuperscript{12} (scheme in Figure 2). This side reaction reattaches the chromophore to the surface, causing a residual absorbance and decreasing the final density of amine groups. The photolytic reaction also reduces the water contact angle of the surface by 5–8°, indicating the liberation of the more polar amine group. The ellipsometric thickness also decreased after irradiation (Table 1 and Figure 1). The thickness reduction is more noticeable in the substrates with a higher ratio of silane 6, as expected.

**Protein Binding Studies at Functionalized Surfaces.** Selective functionalization of the silanized surfaces with biotin and tris-NTA was explored by monitoring protein binding in real time using label-free detection by reflectance interference spectroscopy (RIFS).\textsuperscript{44} This technique detects binding on the surface of a thin silica interference layer. Binding curves were obtained from the shift of the interference spectrum. A shift of 1 nm corresponds to 1 ng mm\textsuperscript{-2} protein on the surface. Initially, experiments were performed to analyze the integrity of the surfaces modified with silanes 6 (Nvoc-terminated), 8 (OMe-terminated), and 6 after deprotection (NH\textsubscript{2}-terminated) with respect to amine-selective functionalization reactions. For this purpose, these substrates were reacted with biotin N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) ester and binding of streptavidin was monitored by RIFS (Figure 3a). Fast, stable binding of streptavidin was detected on all substrates, up to saturation values of 1.7 nm (OMe), 1.2 nm (deprotected Nvoc), and 0.6 nm (Nvoc). The binding signal was clearly due to specific interaction with immobilized biotin, because no binding to these surfaces was observed when they were not reacted with biotin NHS ester (data not shown). Surprisingly, substantial binding of streptavidin to Nvoc- and even OMe-terminated layers was observed, which were expected to be inert toward functionalization by the biotin NHS ester. While the reactivity of the Nvoc-protected surface could be explained by undesired hydrolysis of the Nvoc groups during the coupling reaction, this argument does not hold for the OMe-terminated silane. We therefore attributed this reactivity to a possible esterification reaction of free silanol groups by the NHS-active ester, resulting in high amounts of immobilized biotin on all substrates, independently of the presence of amine groups.\textsuperscript{45,46} To block all reactive sites capable of reacting with the biotin NHS ester, we incubated all the surfaces in acetic anhydride and reaction with biotin NHS ester are shown in Figure 3b. Under these conditions, no streptavidin binding was observed for the OMe-functionalized silane, confirming the specificity of the streptavidin binding. For the non-deprotected Nvoc surface, very little binding of ∼100 pg mm\textsuperscript{-2} was observed, while a significantly higher signal of ∼700 pm was observed for surfaces, which were deprotected prior to the reaction with biotin. Strikingly, a similar difference in streptavidin binding between protected and deprotected Nvoc surfaces (∼600 pm) was observed as for the surfaces which were not blocked with acetic anhydride. Thus, only the background functionalization of the surfaces was minimized by the reaction with acetic anhydride. These results demonstrate that selective functionalization was possible by an improved protocol and that protein binding to these surfaces was highly specific.

To implement site-specific, reversible immobilization of His-tagged proteins, the multivalent metal chelator tris-NTA was coupled to the amine groups on the surface as shown in Scheme 1b. To optimize the degree of functionalization as well as to minimize nonspecific binding, the silanized substrates were blocked with acetic anhydride prior to UV-irradiation. Maltose binding protein with a C-terminal decahistidine tag (MBP-H10) was immobilized to these surfaces after loading the NTA groups with Ni(II) ions. Results are shown in Figure 4a. Stable immobilization of the protein was observed on all surfaces, which was fully reversible upon injection of imidazole. In the absence of chelated Ni(II) ions, no protein binding was observed, confirming specific capturing through the Ni-tris-NTA/oligohistidine interaction. The amount of immobilized protein again correlated well with the fraction of Nvoc-functionalized silane on the surface (Figure 4b and c). The MBP-H10 binding signal of 1 nm (i.e., 1 ng mm\textsuperscript{-2}) corresponds to ∼20% of a monolayer (∼5 nm mm\textsuperscript{-2} for a monolayer). Assuming a molecular weight of 43 kDa for MBP-H10, a protein surface concentration of ∼20 fmol mm\textsuperscript{-2} can be estimated.

The functionality of the immobilized protein was probed by capturing the ectodomain of the human type I interferon receptor subunit 2 fused to a C-terminal decahistidine tag (ifnar2-H10), and monitoring the interaction with its ligand IFNa2. The
interactions of these proteins has been shown to be highly sensitive to immobilization procedures. Immobilization of ifnar2-H10 followed by binding of IFN\(\gamma\)R2 as monitored by RIFS is shown in Figure 5. A similar correlation of immobilized ifnar2 with a nominal surface concentration of the Nvoc silane was observed (Figure 4c). Upon injection of the ligand IFN\(\gamma\)R2, a rapid increase in the signal was observed until saturation binding was reached. Upon rinsing, the ligand dissociated from the surface. The binding kinetics of the interaction was in good agreement with that of previous studies of this interaction. Furthermore, excellent correlation of the ligand binding capacity with the amount of immobilized ifnar2 was observed (Figure 5b), confirming the specificity of the interaction. Taking the molecular masses of the proteins into account, about 70% of immobilized ifnar2-H10 was active in terms of ligand binding. Such high activity of this protein on surfaces has only been obtained by specific capturing onto highly biocompatible surfaces. These results corroborate that the layers obtained by these novel silanes do not only efficiently protect against nonspecific binding but also preserve the folding and functionality of immobilized proteins.

An alternative way for immobilization of His-tagged proteins was implemented with biotin-functionalized surfaces. After binding of streptavidin to the biotin on the surface (I), its remaining biotin binding sites were loaded with \(\text{B}^\text{T}\)tris-NTA (II), followed by injection of MBP-H10 (III). Subsequently, MBP-H10 was removed by injection of imidazole (IV). Binding curves for streptavidin (I), \(\text{B}^\text{T}\)tris-NTA (II), and MBP-H10 (III) to surfaces modified with mixtures of different ratios of silanes 6:8 as indicated in the legend. The amount of streptavidin bound to surfaces with different compositions correlated well to the amount of MBP-H10 captured through \(\text{B}^\text{T}\)tris-NTA (Figure 6c and d). These results demonstrate the possibility to flexibly implement different immobilization schemes by our approach.

Because of the high affinity of the streptavidin interaction with biotin, quantitative complex formation with the immobilized biotin can be assumed. The streptavidin binding signal of \(1.4\) nm (i.e., \(1.4\) ng mm\(^{-2}\)) for monocomponent layers of silane 6 corresponds to \(30\%\) of a monolayer (\(5\) ng mm\(^{-2}\) streptavidin). Assuming a molecular weight of 53 kDa for streptavidin, a protein surface concentration of \(25\) fmol mm\(^{-2}\) can be estimated. Thus, only \(1\%\) of the aminosilane molecules on the surface participate in the immobilization under these coupling conditions (assuming that each streptavidin is coupled by \(1\)–\(2\) biotin molecules to the surface).

**Functional Patterning.** The capability to implement laterally patterned protein immobilization was tested by irradiating the substrates through a mask containing micrometric stripes. This process generates activated areas (those uncaged by irradiation), with the shape of the mask, which were subsequently reacted with different compounds. First, Alexa Fluor 546 succinimidyl ester was coupled to the surface, and the pattern was visualized by fluorescence microscopy. A clear pattern of fluorescent lines on a nonfluorescent background could be observed, and this proved the existence of free amine groups after deprotection (see the Supporting Information). In the second step, site-selective

---

immobilization of proteins was investigated. For this purpose, biotin NHS ester was coupled to the surface after deprotection through the mask, followed by immobilization of streptavidin. The streptavidin pattern was visualized by fluorescence microscopy after capturing fluorescently labeled biotin to unoccupied biotin binding sites of the immobilized streptavidin (Figure 7). Bright fluorescent stripes with a width of 10 \( \mu \)m were observed, confirming selective binding of fluorescent biotin to the irradiated (active) regions. It is important to note that selectivity is maintained over the three surface coupling steps.

Conclusions

In previous works, we have demonstrated that photocleavable, caged silanes can be used as surface coupling agents to site-selectively immobilize small molecules and colloidal particles at predefined positions on a surface.\(^{12,13}\) Immobilization is based on surface groups which get activated in selected regions of the substrate upon masked light irradiation, and can then selectively interact with complementary targets from a surrounding solution. The selectivity of this interaction requires a careful design of the surface agent to avoid nonspecific interactions with the caged regions, which would diminish the selectivity of the assembly. Here, we have extended this principle to the site-selective and functional immobilization of proteins. Protein folds are maintained by intramolecular noncovalent interactions, which are perturbed by interaction with the surface, leading to denaturation of immobilized proteins and loss of their function. Consequently, surface layers for protein site-selective immobilization need to contain not only functional groups to enable site-specific attachment, but also molecular elements that prevent nonspecific interactions and thus maintain protein function in the immobilized state. By introducing a TEG unit in the molecular structure of our coupling agent, we have obtained photosensitive surface layers with negligible nonspecific protein adsorption in their caged state, and this enables high levels of specific protein immobilization after functionalization. These results are remarkable considering the shortness of the TEG unit and the fact that we do not have compact SAMs. Upon functionalization of the deprotected amines, specific immobilization of proteins was demonstrated. The amount of immobilized protein or bound ligand was tuned by diluting the surface functionality via coadsorption of a reactive and a nonreactive silane. The low nonspecific protein interaction with the surface not only increases the specificity of immobilization and detection but also enables functional protein immobilization. Here, we have demonstrated that a representative protein, the ectodomain of ifnar2, site-specifically immobilized through a His tag remained highly functional in terms of ligand binding. Lateral micropatterning of these surfaces by photodeprotection through a mask provides a flexible means for implementing biofunctionally patterned surfaces. In combination with His-tag-selective, reversible protein capturing by tris-NTA groups demonstrated in this paper, a broad application of this approach for lateral micropatterning of proteins on glass-type surfaces can be envisaged.
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